Document 734 Filed 06/28/2007

Page 1 of 79

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

DATATREASURY CORPORATION,

Plaintiff

2:06-CV-72 DF

v.

WELLS FARGO & COMPANY, et al.

Defendants

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3

Pursuant to the Court's Second Amended Docket Control Order, the Parties¹ hereby submit this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement in compliance with Patent Rule 4-3. Each Defendant joins this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement solely with respect to those terms found in claims asserted against it. The Parties expressly reserve the right to modify this Joint Claim Construction Statement should the Court issue any relevant claim construction rulings between now and the Markman hearing scheduled for September 24, 2007.

I. P.R. 4-3(a)

Pursuant to P.R. 4-3(a), the Parties present the agreed construction of the claim terms, phrases, or clauses, contained in Exhibit A.

¹ First Citizens Bancshares, Inc., HSBC North America Holdings Inc., and Union BanCal Corporation join this Statement subject to, and without waiver of, their lack of personal jurisdiction defenses.

II. P.R. 4-3(b)

Pursuant to P.R. 4-3(b), the Parties present the disputed constructions of the following claim terms, phrases, or clauses, contained in **Exhibit B** (the "'007 Patent"), **Exhibit C** (the "'868 Patent"), **Exhibit D** (the "'759 Patent"), and **Exhibit E** (the "'778 Patent"). **Exhibits B thru E** include citations to example intrinsic and extrinsic evidence asserted to support the parties' respective claim constructions. For brevity, terms and phrases are defined only once, where they first appear. For purposes of presenting the disputed constructions in **Exhibits B thru E**, the Defendants' constructions are placed in the following groups:

"Defendant Group 1":

Bank of America Corporation

Bank of America, National Association

Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, LTD

BB&T Corporation

Branch Banking and Trust Company

Citizens Financial Group, Inc.

City National Bank

City National Corporation

Comerica Bank & Trust, National Association

Comerica Incorporated

Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas

First Citizens Bancshares, Inc.

First Citizens Bank & Trust Company

First Data Corporation

HSBC Bank USA, National Association

HSBC North America Holdings Inc.

Lasalle Bank Corporation

Lasalle Bank, National Association

M&T Bank

M&T Bank Corporation

National City Bank

National City Corporation

Remitco, LLC

Telecheck Services, Inc.

The Bank of New York

The Bank of New York Co, Inc.

The Clearing House Payments Company, L.L.C.

U.S. Bancorp
U.S. Bank, National Association
UBS Americas, Inc.
Union Bank of California, National Association
UnionBanCal Corporation
Wachovia Bank, National Association
Wachovia Corporation

"Defendant Group 2":

KeyBank, National Association KeyCorp PNC Bank, National Association PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.

The parties expressly reserve the right to modify this identification of intrinsic and extrinsic evidence, including the right to cite additional evidence not identified herein, to support their respective claim constructions.

III. P.R. 4-3(c)

The Court's Second Amended Docket Control Order has designated 4 hours for each side to present at the Claim Construction Hearing on September 24, 2007, with the hearing to continue September 25th if necessary. No Party currently proposes to change the Court's Scheduling Order.

IV. P.R. 4-3(d)

Pursuant to P.R. 4-3(d), the parties state as follows:

- A. Terry Geer and David James may testify at the Claim Construction Hearing as to the various structural embodiments representing certain means-for applications.
- **B.** If required or helpful to the Court, "Defendant Group 1" proposes to call Dr. Dewayne E. Perry as an expert witness providing testimony for the construction of the means-plus-function claim terms for which he is identified below. Dr Perry may be

called on to explain the technology, the states-of-the-art at the time the patent application was filed and/or issued, the meaning of claim terms as they would be understood by those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, the proper construction of various claim terms in light of the intrinsic and extrinsic evidence, and the level of ordinary skill in the relevant art. Dr. Perry may also be called if required or helpful to the Court as a rebuttal witness to testimony provided by Plaintiff at the Claim Construction Hearing. Dr. Perry may testify to the lack of corresponding structure sufficient to perform the claimed function(s). Specifically, with respect to the "means-plus-function" terms that recite a computer-implemented function(s), Dr. Perry may provide testimony supporting the following:

- The identified "means-plus-function" claim terms recite a computerimplemented function(s) which require a CPU, a microprocessor, a programmed computer, and/or software to perform it/them.
- It is well known in the art that a stand-alone processor or CPU could not perform the functions in the identified "means-plus-function" claim terms without associated software and/or programming.
- For each identified "means-plus-function" term, the patent does not provide a flowchart, mathematical equations, source code, or detailed descriptions in the specification that could constitute an algorithm for performing the functions of any of the computer-implemented meansplus-function limitations.

"Defendant Group 1" reserves the right to call Mr. Karl Sammons if required or helpful to the Court as a rebuttal witness to testimony provided by Plaintiff at the Claim Construction Hearing. Mr. Sammons may be called on to explain the technology, the states-of-the-art at the time the patent application was filed, the meaning of claim terms as they would be understood by those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, the proper construction of various claim terms in light of the intrinsic and extrinsic evidence, and the level of ordinary skill in the relevant art.

C. "Defendant Group 2" does not intend to call any witnesses. "Defendant Group 2" reserves the right to rely on the testimony of witnesses offered by other parties in this case or related cases in support of its claim constructions.

V. P.R. 4-3(e)

Pursuant to P.R. 4-3(e), the parties state as follows:

- **A.** Plaintiff foresees no need for a prehearing conference on claim construction issues, other than for limiting the number of terms for construction at the Markman hearing.
- **B.** At present, "Defendant Group 1" is unaware of any additional issues which might require the scheduling of a prehearing conference. If such issues later arise, "Defendant Group 1" will inform the Court and the parties involved and propose dates for a prehearing conference.
- C. At present, "Defendant Group 2" is unaware of any additional issues which might require the scheduling of a prehearing conference. If such issues later arise, "Defendant Group 2" will inform the Court and the parties involved and propose dates for a prehearing conference.

Dated: April 13, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Thomas M. Melsheimer by permission on behalf of the Plaintiff:

Edward L. Von Hohn, Attorney in Charge State Bar No. 09813240 Rod Cooper State Bar No. 90001628 Edward Chin State Bar No. 50511688 NIX PATTERSON & ROACH LLP 5215 N. O'Connor Blvd. Ste. 1900 Irving, Texas 75039 972.831.1188 (telephone) 972.692.5445 (facsimile) edhohn@nixlawfirm.com rodcooper@nixlawfrm.com edchin@nixlawfirm.com

C. Cary Patterson State Bar No. 15587000 Brady Paddock State Bar No. 00791394 Anthony Bruster State Bar No. 24036280 R. Benjamin King State Bar No. 24048592 NIX PATTERSON & ROACH L.L.P. 2900 St. Michael Drive, Suite 500 Texarkana, Texas 75503 903.223.3999 (telephone) 903.223.8520 (facsimile) akbruster@nixlawfirm.com bpaddock@nixlawfirm.com benking@nixlawfirm.com

Joe Kendall State Bar No. 11260700 Karl Rupp State Bar No. 24035243 PROVOST * UMPHREY, L.L.P. 3232 McKinney Avenue, Ste. 700 Dallas, Texas 75204 214.744.3000 (telephone)

By: /s/ Thomas M. Melsheimer

Thomas M. Melsheimer Texas Bar No. 13922550 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1717 Main Street **Suite 5000** Dallas, TX 75201 214-747-5070 (Telephone) 214-747-2091 (Telecopy)

Robert E. Hillman FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2804 617-542-5070 (Telephone) 617-542-8906 (Telecopy)

Robert M. Parker Robert Christopher Bunt PARKER & BUNT, P.C. 100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1114 Tyler, Texas 75702 903-531-3535 (Telephone) 903-533-9687 (Telecopy)

Michael E. Jones Texas Bar No. 10929400 E. Glenn Thames, Jr. Texas Bar No. 00785097 POTTER MINTON 500 Plaza Tower 110 North College, Suite 500 Tyler, TX 75702

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

By:/s/ Thomas M. Melsheimer by permission on behalf of the following counsel:

Danielle Williams KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 1001 West Fourth Street Winston-Salem, NC 27101

Bill Boice

214.744.3015 (facsimile) jkendall@provostumphrey.com krupp@provostumphrey.com

Eric M. Albritton State Bar; No. 00790215 ALBRITTON LAW FIRM P.O. Box 2649 Longview, Texas 75606 903.757.8449 (telephone) 903.758.7397 (facsimile) ema@emafirm.com

T. John Ward, Jr. State Bar No. 00794818 LAW OFFICE OF T. JOHN WARD, JR. P.O. Box 1231 Longview, Texas 75601 903.757.6400 (telephone) 903.757.2323 (facsimile) jw@jwfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF DATATREASURY CORPORATION

Audra Dial KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800 Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530

Kenneth Godlewski Stephen Baskin KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 607 14th Street, NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005-2018

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS BB&T CORPORATION, BRANCH **BANKING & TRUST CO., COMERICA** BANK & TRUST, N.A., COMERICA, INC., M&T BANK, M&T CORPORATION, WACHOVIA CORPORATION, WACHOVIA BANK, N.A.

Raymond Sweigart Jack Barufka Scott Pivnick PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 1650 Tysons Blvd. McLean, VA 22102-4859

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS BANK OF NEW YORK CO., INC., THE BANK OF NEW YORK, UNION BANK OF CALIFORNIA, N.A., UNIONBANCAL CORPORATION

David L. Ward, Jr. Donalt J.Eglinton WARD AND SMITH, P.A. 1001 College Court New Bern, NC 28562

Larry Carlson David Taylor BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75201-2980

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES, INC., FIRST CITIZENS BANK & TRUST CO.

James H. Carter James T. Williams Jane Jaang SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2493

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS THE CLEARINGHOUSE PAYMENTS CO., L.L.C. F/K/A SMALL VALUE PAYMENTS CO., L.L.C.

Glen Boudreaux Tim Leonard Edward J. Nicholas BOUDREAUX LEONARD HAMMOND **CURCIO** 2 Houston Center 909 Fannin Street, Suite 2350 Houston, TX 77010

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS HSBC NORTH AMERICA HOLDINGS, INC., HSBC BANK USA, N.A.

Irah Donner WILMER, CUTLER, PICKERING, HALE & DORR 399 Park Ave. New York, NY 10022

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS HSBC NORTH AMERICA HOLDINGS, INC., HSBC BANK USA, N.A.

Sam Baxter Lead Attorney Texas Bar No. 01938000 sbaxter@mckoolsmith.com McKOOL SMITH, P.C. 505 East Travis Street, Suite 105 Marshall, Texas 75670 903-927-2111 (Telephone) 903-927-2622 (Telecopy)

Theodore Stevenson, III Texas Bar No. 19196650 tstevenson@mckoolsmith.com L. David Anderson Texas Bar No. 00796126 danderson@mckoolsmith.com McKOOL SMITH P.C. 300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500 Dallas, TX 75201 214-978-4000 (Telephone) 214-978-4044 (Telecopy)

Peter J. Ayers Texas Bar No. 24009882 payers@mckoolsmith.com Geoffrey L. Smith Texas Bar No. 24041939 gsmith@mckoolsmith.com McKOOL SMITH P.C. 300 W. 6th Street, Suite 1700 Austin, Texas 78701 512-692-8700 (Telephone) 512-692-8744 (Telecopy)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC., PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, KEYCORP, AND **KEYBANK NA**

Theodore Stevenson, III Lead Attorney Texas Bar No. 19196650 tstevenson@mckoolsmith.com Garret W. Chambers Texas Bar No. 00792160 gchambers@mckoolsmith.com L. David Anderson Texas Bar No. 00796126 danderson@mckoolsmith.com McKOOL SMITH, P.C 300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500 Dallas, TX 75201 214-978-4000 (Telephone) 214-978-4044 (Telecopy)

Peter J. Ayers Texas Bar No. 24009882 payers@mckoolsmith.com Geoffrey L. Smith Texas Bar No. 24041939 gsmith@mckoolsmith.com McKOOL SMITH P.C. 300 W. 6th Street, Suite 1700 Austin, Texas 78701 512-692-8700 (Telephone) 512-692-8744 (Telecopy)

COUNSEL FOR FIRST DATA CORPORATION, TELECHECK SERVICES, INC.; REMITCO, LLC, **DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY** AMERICAS, BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD.

Edward G. Poplawski (Pro Hac Vice)

EPoplaws@Sidley.com Jeffrey A. Finn (Pro Hac Vice) JFinn@Sidley.com Carissa A. Tener (Pro Hac Vice) CTener@Sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN L.L.P. 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90013 213-896-6000 (Telephone) 213-896-6600 (Telecopy)

Sidney Calvin Capshaw, III State Bar No. 03783900 Andrew W. Spangler State Bar No. 20401960 Elizabeth L. DeRieux State Bar No. 05770585 Brown McCarroll 1127 Judson Road, Suite 220 Longview, TX 75601 P.O. Box 3999 Longview, TX 75606-3999 903-236-9800 Fax: 903-236-8787 ccapshaw@mailbmc.com aspangler@mailbmc.com ederieux@mailbmc.com

COUNSEL FOR LASALLE BANK CORPORATION AND LASALLE BANK NA

Jerry L. Beane Texas Bar No. 01966000 jerrybeane@andrewskurth.com Gerald C. Conley Texas Bar No. 04664200 geraldconley@andrewskurth.com Kay Lynn Brumbaugh Texas Bar No. 00785152 kaylynnbrumbaugh@andrewskurth.com Tonya M. Gray Texas Bar No. 24012726 tonyagray@andrewskurth.com ANDRWS KURTH LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 3700 Dallas, TX 75201 214-659-4400 (Telephone) 214-659-4401 (Telecopy)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS COMPASS BANCSHARES, INC. AND COMPASS BANK, FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL

CORPORATION FIRST TENNESSEE BANK, N.A.

William L. LaFuze
Texas Bar No. 11792500
wlafuze@velaw.com
D. Ferguson McNiel
Texas Bar No. 13830300
fmcniel@velaw.com
VINSON & ELKINS LLP
2300 First City Tower
1001 Fannin St.
Houston, TX 77002
713-758-2595 (Telephone)
713-615-5017 (Telecopy)

Scott W. Breedlove Texas Bar No. 00790361 sbreedlove@velaw.dom VINSON & ELKINS LLP 3700 Trammell Crow Center 2001 Ross Ave. Dallas, TX 75201-2975 214-220-7700 (Telephone) 214-220-7716 (Telecopy)

Harry Lee Gillam, Jr.
Texas Bar No. 07921800
gil@gillamsmithlaw.com
Melissa Richards Smith
Texas Bar No. 24001351
melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com
GILLAM & SMITH LLP
110 South Bolivar, Suite 204
Marshall, TX 75670
903-934-8450 (Telephone)
903-934-9257 (Telecopy)

ATTORNEYS FOR UBS AMERICAS, INC.

Edward G. Poplawski (Pro Hac Vice) EPoplaws@Sidley.com Jeffrey A. Finn (Pro Hac Vice) JFinn@Sidley.com Carissa A. Tener (Pro Hac Vice) CTener@Sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN L.L.P. 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90013 213-896-6000 (Telephone) 213-896-6600 (Telecopy)

Kurt M. Sauer

DAFFER MCDANIEL The Chase Building 700 Lavaca, Suite 720 Austin, TX 78701-3119

Sidney Calvin Capshaw, III State Bar No. 03783900 Andrew W. Spangler State Bar No. 20401960 Elizabeth L. DeRieux State Bar No. 05770585 Brown McCarroll 1127 Judson Road, Suite 220 Longview, TX 75601 P.O. Box 3999 Longview, TX 75606-3999 903-236-9800 Fax: 903-236-8787 ccapshaw@mailbmc.com aspangler@mailbmc.com ederieux@mailbmc.com

ATTORNEYS FOR CITY NATIONAL BANK CITY NATIONAL CORP.

Melvin R. Wilcox, III mrw@smeadlaw.com SMEAD, ANDERSON & DUNN LLP 2110 Horseshoe Lane P.O. Box 3343 Longview, TX 75606 903-232-1892 (Telephone) 903-232-1881 (Telecopy)

Of Counsel:

John J. Feldhaus jfeldhaus@foley.com Anthony H. Son ason@folev.com FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 3000 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20007 202-672-5300 (Telephone)

ATTORNEYS FOR U.S. BANCORP, U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, NATIONAL CITY CORPORATION AND NATIONAL CITY BANK, ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND ZIONS FIRST NATIONAL BANK

John H. McDowell, Jr.

john.mcdowell@hughesluce.com Greg Perrone gregory.perrone@hughesluce.com HUGHES & LUCE LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201 214-939-5413 (Telephone) 214-939-5849 (Telecopy)

ATTORNEYS FOR BANCORPSOUTH, INC., AND **BANCORPSOUTH BANK**

Jeffrey Standley jstandley@standleyllp.com STANDLEY LAW GROUP LLP 495 Metro Place South, Suite 210 Dublin, OH 43017 614-792-5555 (Telephone) 614-792-5536 (Telecopy)

Claude Welch cwelch@consolidated.net 115 W. Shepherd Ave. Lufkin, TX 75904-3808 936-639-3311 (Telephone) 936-639-3049 (Telecopy)

ATTORNEYS FOR CITIZENS FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on April 13, 2007, to all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

/s/ Tim K. Brown
Tim K. Brown

90219375.doc

EXHIBIT A

DataTreasury Corporation v. Wells Fargo & Company, et al. P.R. 4-3(a) AGREED CONSTRUCTIONS

'007 Patent

Claim Term	Agreed Construction
Claim 1	
The "means for sending" includes a further means-plus-function limitation of "means for physically transporting the instruments from an institution at one site to each other of the	Eunction: Physically transporting the instruments from an institution at one site to each other of the institutions at the other sites.
institutions at the other sites" subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)	Corresponding Structure: Air and/or ground transportation.
"financial instrument"	A document in writing by which some financial obligation by one person to pay another is represented, such as a check, paper, cash items, money orders, share orders, drafts, notes, bonds, coupons.
"within"	Inside.

'868 Patent

Claim Term	Agreed Construction
Claim 1	
"financial instrument"	A document in writing by which some financial obligation by one person to pay another is represented, such as a check, paper, cash items, money orders, share orders, drafts, notes, bonds, coupons.

EXHIBIT A TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – Page A1

Claim 2	
"identifying information"	Data contained in the data file that identifies the originating or receiving institution.

'759 Patent

Claim Term	Agreed Construction
Claim 1	
"financial instrument"	A document in writing by which some financial obligation by one person to pay another is represented, such as a check, paper, cash items, money orders, share orders, drafts, notes, bonds, coupons.
"parameters determined by the payee's collecting and clearing bank"	Standards set by the payee's collecting and clearing bank for submitting financial instruments into the payment system.
"check payment system"	The system by which checks are collected and cleared among banks. The check payment system includes institutions such as the Federal Reserve Banks, correspondent banks, The National Clearinghouse Association and like mechanisms.
"sorter"	A machine well-known in the industry for sorting instruments into discrete groups.
"cash letters"	A listing of checks and the amounts of the checks drawn on a particular institution or group of institutions in a particular area.

EXHIBIT A TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – Page A2

"discrete groups"	Separate groups that are determined by predetermined sort patterns and/or instructions from the payee's collecting and clearing bank.
Claim 11	
"parameters determined by the bank of subsequent deposit"	Standards set by the bank of subsequent deposit for submitting financial instruments into the payment system.
"bank of first deposit"	The payee's depository bank.

'778 Patent

Claim Term	Agreed Construction
Claim 1	
financial instrument"	A document in writing by which some financial obligation by one person to pay another is represented, such as a check, paper, cash items, money orders, share orders, drafts, notes, bonds, coupons.
'routing information"	Information that ensures proper routing through the payment system.
"sorter"	A machine well-known in the industry for sorting instruments into discrete groups.
"payment stub identifier"	A form or invoice containing relevant information about the identity of the payor and the purpose of the payment to the payee.

EXHIBIT A TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – Page A3

"in accordance with predetermined criteria established by the bank"	According to criteria established in advance by the bank.
"process control instructions"	Commands to control communication between the payee, the depository bank, and the payment system.
"controller for controlling and coordinating transmissions"	A central control/processor unit coordinates and synchronizes communications.
"associating said bundles with electronic cash letter information"	Electronic information, the equivalent of a cash letter, is included with each electronic bundle.
"unique record"	Record generated by adding document identifiers and routing information to the first information record of each instrument.
Claim 5	
"coordinating the delivery of the instruments and cash letters into the payment system"	Controlling the process of the delivery of instruments and cash letters into the payment system.
"payment form"	A payment stub or invoice containing information about the payor's account with the payee, or an order form or the like containing relevant information about the identity of the payor and the purpose of the payment, which usually accompany instruments sent by payor.
"coordinating the transmissions of information"	A central control/processor unit coordinates and synchronizes communications.

EXHIBIT A TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\rm Page}$ A4

EXHIBIT B

DataTreasury Corporation v. Wells Fargo & Company, et al. P.R. 4-3(b) PARTIES DISPUTED CONSTRUCTIONS FOR U.S. PATENT NO. 5,265,007

² For brevity, terms and phrases are defined only once, where they first appear.

³ The terms that share common constructions are grouped together. Terms located in different claims are annotated with the claim number where the claim is found. Plaintiff opposes the grouping of claim terms from different claims and notes its objection for the Court.

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – Page B1

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC Doo	cument 638 Filed 06/28/2007 Page 20 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction		A place within a specific and exclusive geographical region. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 1:44-Col. 2:16; Col. 2:28-32; Col. 2:66-Col. 3:3; Col. 3:21-28; Col. 4:22-49; Col. 5:10-25; Col. 5:26-45; Col. 6:25-59; Col. 7:1-13; Fig. 1; Amendment, pp. 2-3 (May 29, 1991); Amendment, pp. 2-3 (Jan. 2, 1992); Amendment, pp. 8-9 (Dec. 8, 1992).
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	"preselect", Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc. (1994) – "to select in advance; choose beforehand."	The specific and exclusive geographical region of a financial institution that has been previously chosen to be a direct participant in a central check clearing system other than the Federal Reserve System. Intrinsic Evidence: (2:28-32); (3:21-28); (4:22-40); (4:41-49); (5:10-25); (5:26-45); (6:25-51); (7:1-13); Language of Claims 1 and 4; Objects of the Invention; FIG 1; Amendment (Jan. 2, 1992) at 3-4; Amendment (Dec. 8, 1992) at 9 Extrinsic Evidence: "preselect", Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc.
Plaintiff Construction	'007 Patent at 1:44-52; 2:30-37; 2:66-3:7; 3:24-28; 5:13-18; 7-34-38; 10:25 Extrinsic Evidence: Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc., p. 1138 (1994) (defining "preselect" as "to select in advance; choose beforehand.") (Exhibit F). Plaintiff's construction for "participants" (Claim 4) is as follows:	This language is in the preamble and does not need to be construed. Alternatively, Plaintiff's construction is: The instrument processing location of a participating institution.
'007 Claim Terms for Construction		"preselected site"
No.		ဗ်

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\rm Page}~B2$

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-	DF-CMC	Document 638 Filed 0	6/28/2007 Page 22 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Sending and receiving the instruments.	Corresponding Structure: The structure disclosed in the specification of the '007 patent that corresponds to this element is the structure disclosed in the specification for the "means for physically transporting instruments" and the "means for physically accepting the instruments," if any.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Physically accepting the instruments transported from the other institutions. Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '007 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	(1994) – "to select in advance; choose beforehand." "site", The Oxford English Dictionary (2d ed. 1989) – "2. a. the situation or position of a place, town, building, etc., esp. with reference to the surrounding district or locality."	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Sending and receiving the instruments.	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Physically accepting the instruments transported from other institutions. Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.
Plaintiff Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Sending and receiving the instruments.	Corresponding Structure: Air or ground transportation and a preselected institution's physical facility. Intrinsic Evidence '007 Patent, at Fig. 1; Col. 6:22-24; and potentially the file history.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Sending and receiving the instruments. Corresponding Structure: Air or ground transportation and a preselected institution's physical facility. Intrinsic Evidence
'007 Claim Terms for Construction		"means within each of the preselected institutionsfor sending and receiving the instruments, said means for sending including means for physically transporting	the instruments from an institution at one site to each other of the institutions at the other sites, said means for receiving including means for physically accepting the instruments transported from the other institutions" is in meansplus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)	The "means for receiving" includes a further means-plusfunction limitation of "means for receiving including means for physically accepting the instruments transported from the other institutions" subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)
No.		4.		က်

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\rm Page}~B3$

	Case 2	:06-cv-(00072	-DF	-CM	С	Docun	nent	68	3	File	ed C	06/28	3/200)7 F	Page 2	2 2 o	f 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Sending to and receiving from a central processing unit	information reporting in real time in	correspondence with the occurrence of an event (a) the value of the	instruments transported; and (b) the transport status of the instruments with	respect to their having been (i) sent and (ii) received.	Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '007 patent does	not disclose structure sufficient to	perform the recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Coi. 6.20-24, Amendment Alter Final Action. p. 8 (May 5, 1993).				A single master conventional programmable computer.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Fig. 1; Col. 1:62-66; Col.2:1-7; Col. 6:9-22; Amendment, pp. 2-3 (May 15,
"Defendant Group 1" Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Sending to and receiving from a central processing unit	information reporting in real time in	correspondence with the occurrence of an event (a) the value of the	instruments transported; and (b) the transport status of the instruments	with respect to their having been sent and received.	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose	structure sufficient to perform the	recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence:	(6:20-22): Amendment After Final	Office Action (May 6, 1993) at 8	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Perry.	A single central processing unit, connected to all originating and	receiving institutions and through which all data files are transmitted.	Intrinsic Evidence:
Plaintiff Construction	'007 Patent, at Fig. 1; Col. 6:22-24; and potentially the file history.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Sending to and receiving from a central processing unit	connected to each institution information reporting in real time in	correspondence with the occurrence of an event (a) the value of the	instruments transported; and (b) the transport status of the instruments	with respect to their having been (i) sent and (ii) received	Corresponding Structure: Electronic communications links, which may	include conventional telephone links	by modem connections and the like, and software.			'007 Patent, at Fig. 1 Col. 6:22-24. and potentially the file	history.		A conventional programmable computer.		Intrinsic Evidence
'007 Claim Terms for Construction		"means within each of the preselected institutionsfor sending to and receiving from a central	processing unit connected to each institution information	correspondence with the	occurrence of an event (a) the value of the instruments	transported; and (b) the transport status of the instruments with	respect to their having been (i) sent and (ii) received" is in means-plus-function format	subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)								"central processing unit"		
O		6.														7.		

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\rm Page}~84$

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC Document 638	F	iled 06/28/2007 Page 28 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	1991); Amendment, pp. 2-3 (May 29, 1991); Amendment, pp. 2-3 (Jan. 2, 1992); Request for Consideration of Amendment, pp. 8-9 (May 14, 1992); Amendment After Final Action, p. 8, 12 (May 5, 1993).		Immediate; immediately. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 1:66-2:7; Col. 2:32-50; Col. 3:29-42; Col. 3:67-Col. 4:10; Col. 5:63-Col.6:34; Col. 6:52-66; Col. 7:14-31; Amendment, p. 11 (Dec 8, 1992); Amendment, pp. 8-9 (June 29, 1993). Extrinsic Evidence: Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary, (1996); International Dictionary of Data Communications
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	(1:62-68); (2:22-23); (5:66); (6:11-22); (7:15-17); FIG 1; The language of Claims 1 and 4; Amendment (Dec. 8, 1992) at 9; Amendment (May 15, 1991) at 2; Amendment (May 29, 1991) at 2; Amendment (May 29, 1991) at 2; Amendment (May 29, 1991) at 2; Amendment (May 14, 1992) at 8-9; Amendment (May 6, 1993) at 8, 12 Extrinsic Evidence: "processor", Academic Press Dictionary of Science and Technology (1993) – "a device that interprets and executes instructions." "centralized data processing", The New Webster's Comprehensive Dictionary CD-11 (1985) – "the Dictionary CD-11 (1985) – "the	nandinig of all data in one prace.	Instantaneous, instantaneously. Intrinsic Evidence: (1:65-2:8); (2:32-50); (3:29-42); (4:1-10); (6:1-11); (6:9-24); (6:25-27); (6:52-56); (6:55-66); (7:14-31); Amendment (June 29, 1993) at 8-9 Extrinsic Evidence: "real time", The Random House Handbook of Business Terms (1988) - "See interactive processing";
Plaintiff Construction	'007 Patent, at Col. 9:1-2; 9:10; 1:62-66; 6:11-16.		The actual time during which something takes place. Intrinsic Evidence '007 Patent, at Col. 2:40, 6:60-64; 7:14-34. Extrinsic Evidence: Merriam Webster Online Dictionary at http://www.mw.com/dictionary/realtime (defining "real time" as "the actual time during which something takes place").
'007 Claim Terms for Construction			"real time"
No.			ώ

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\tt Page}$ B5

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CM	MC Document 638 Filed 06/28/2007 Page 25 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	(1998); Random House Webster's Computer and Internet Dictionary, 3rd Ed. (1999).	Immediately when the instruments are sent and received. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 1:66-2:7; Col. 2:32-50; Col. 3:29-42; Col. 3:67-Col. 4:10; Col. 5:63-Col.6:34; Col. 6:52-66; Col. 7:14-31; Amendment, p. 11 (Dec 8, 1992); Amendment, pp. 8-9 (June 29, 1993). Extrinsic Evidence: Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary, (1996); International Dictionary of Data Communications (1998); Random House Webster's Computer and Internet Dictionary, 3rd Ed. (1999).
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	"interactive processing" – "also called real time. Immediate and instantaneous processing of data entered in a computer, such that the operator need not wait until a batch is collected." "real time", Random House Webster's Unabridged Dictionary (2d ed. 1998) – "at once, instantaneously."	Instantaneous in correspondence with the occurrence of the physical sending and receiving of the financial instruments. (1:65-2:8); (2:32-50); (3:29-42); (4:1-10); (5:63-66); (6:1-11); (6:9-24); (6:25-27); (6:52-56); (6:55-66); (7:14-31); Amendment (June 29, 1993) at 8-9; Amendment (Dec 8, 1992) at 11 Extrinsic Evidence: "real time", The Random House Handbook of Business Terms (1988) — See "interactive processing"; "interactive processing"; "interactive processing" – "also called real time. Immediate and instantaneous processing of data entered in a computer, such that the operator need not wait until a batch is collected."
Plaintiff Construction		The actual time during which something takes place. Intrinsic Evidence '007 Patent, at Col. 2:40, 6:60-64; 7:14-34. Extrinsic Evidence: Merriam Webster Online Dictionary at http://www.mw.com/dictionary/realtime (defining "real time" as "the actual time during which something takes place").
'007 Claim Terms for Construction		"real time in correspondence with the occurrence of an event"
No.		ര്

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\rm Page}~86$

	Case 2:06	6-cv-00072-DF-CMC Do	ocument 638	Filed 06/28/20	07 Page 26 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction		The aggregate total dollar amount of the financial instruments physically sent and received. Intrinsic Evidence: Col.5:17-22; Col. 5:63-Col.6:34.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Receiving from the central processing unit a calculated value (a) on a real time basis and (b) on a	regular periodic settlement basis, information regarding the debits and credits owing to or payable by an institution with respect to each other of the institutions with regard to instruments sent and received.	Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '007 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 6:20-24; Amendment After Final Action, p. 8 (May 5, 1993).
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Webster's Unabridged Dictionary (2d ed. 1998) – "at once, instantaneously."	Does not need to be construed separately.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Receiving from the central processing unit a calculated value (a) on a real time basis and (b) on a	regular periodic settlement basis, information regarding the debits and credits owing to or payable by an institution with respect to each other of the institutions with regard to instruments sent and received.	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: (6:20-24); Amendment After Final Office Action (May 6, 1993) at 8
Plaintiff Construction		The construed phrase should be just "value of the instruments" as that appears several times in Claim 1. The construction should be "the total dollar amount of the financial instruments being delivered." Intrinsic Evidence '007 Patent, at Col. 2:32-50.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Receiving from the central processing unit a calculated value (a) on a real time basis and (b) on a	regular periodic settlement basis, information regarding the debits and credits owing to or payable by an institution with respect to each other of the institutions with regard to instruments sent and received.	Corresponding Structure: Accounting system; related software; electronic communications links. Intrinsic Evidence: 007 Patent, at Fig. 1; Col. 3:35-39, 5:25, 4:50; 6:20-24; possibly the file history.
'007 Claim Terms for Construction		"value of the instruments transported" "the value of the instruments sent and received"	"means within each of the pre- selected institutions for receiving from the central processing unit a calculated value (a) on a real time basis and (b) on a regular periodic	settlement basis, information regarding the debits and credits owing to or payable by an institution with respect to each other of the institutions with regard to instruments sent and received" is in means-plus-	function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)
No.		10.	E		

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\rm Page}~B7$

	Case 2:06-c	v-00072-DF-0	CMC Document 638 Filed 06/2	8/2007 Page 26 of 7
"Defendant Group 2" Construction		A calculation and transfer of amounts owed to and payable between and among financial institutions.	Col. 1:10-19; Col. 2:9-17; Col. 2:32-54; Col.3:5-16; Col. 3:29-54; Col.4:10-14; Col. 4:22-40; Col.6:16-20; Fig. 1; Amendment, p. 5 (May 29, 1991); Amendment, pp. 2-4 (Jan 2, 1992); Amendment, pp. 9-10 (Dec 8, 1992). Extrinsic Evidence: Dictionary of Banking (1994).	Settlement occurring at predetermined time intervals. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 1:10-19; Col. 1:62-66; Col. 2:9-17; Col. 2:32-54; Col.3:5-16; Col. 3:29-54; Col. 4:10-14; Col. 4:22-40; Col.6:7-20;
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.	A calculation and transfer of amounts owed and payable between financial institutions.	(1:10-19); (2:9-17); (2:31-54); (3:5-16); (3:29-54); (4:10-14); (4:30-40); Amendment (May 29, 1991) at 5; Amendment (Jan. 2, 1992) at 2; Amendment (Dec. 8, 1992) at 10 Extrinsic Evidence: "settlement", Dictionary of Banking Terms (Barron's 1990) – "the accounting process recording the respective debit and credit positions of the two parties involved in a transfer of funds."	A final calculation and transfer of amounts owed to and payable between direct participants in the central check clearing system occurring at pre-determined time intervals.
Plaintiff Construction	Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony of Terry L. Geer Testimony of David James	Settlement of credit and debit balances between institutions.	Abstract; 2:9-16; 2:32-39, 2:47-49; 3:5-9.	DTC construes "regular periodic settlement" to be "settlement of credit and debit balances between institutions at predetermined time intervals." DTC construes "final settlement" to be "final settlement of credit and debit
'007 Claim Terms for Construction		"settlement"		"regular periodic settlement" (This term has been shortened from previously identified "regular periodic settlement basis") "final settlement" (Claim 4) ⁴
O		7.		13.

⁴ The terms that share common constructions are grouped together. Terms located in different claims are annotated with the claim number where the claim is found.

Plaintiff opposes the grouping of claim terms from different claims and notes its objection for the Court. EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – Page B8

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC Docu	ment 638	Filed 06/28/2007	Page 28 of 7
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	Fig. 1; Amendment, p. 5 (May 29, 1991); Amendment, pp. 2-4 (Jan 2, 1992); Amendment, pp. 9-10 (Dec 8, 1992). Extrinsic Evidence: Dictionary of Banking (1994).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Continuous monitoring on a real time basis, as reported by each institution by the means for sending information within each institution: (a) (i) the sending and receipt status of the instruments and (ii) the value of the instruments sent and received, as reported by each of the institutions,	and (b) the status in transit of the instruments with respect to their having been (i) sent and (ii) received, as reported by each of the institutions, according to the reporting of an institution's sending and receiving of
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	(1:10-19); (1:62-68); (2:9-17); (2:31-54); (3:5-16); (3:29-54); (4:10-14); (4:22-40); (4:30-40); (6:7-20); Language of Claims 1 and 4; FIG 1; Amendment (Dec. 8, 1992) at 9; Amendment (Jan. 2, 1992) at 2-4; Amendment (May 29, 1991) at 5; Amendment (Dec. 8, 1992) at 10 Extrinsic Evidence: "settlement", Dictionary of Banking Terms (Barron's 1990) — "the accounting process recording the respective debit and credit positions of the two parties involved in a	transfer of funds." This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Continuously monitoring on a real time basis, as reported by each institution by the means for sending information within each institution (a) (i) the sending and receipt status of the instruments and (ii) the value of the instruments sent and received, as reported by each of	the institutions, and (b) the status in transit of the instruments with respect to their having been (i) sent and (ii) received, as reported by each of the institutions, according to the reporting of an institution's
Plaintiff Construction	balances between institutions." Intrinsic Evidence: '007 Patent, at Abstract Col. 2:9-16; 2:32-39; 2:47-49	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Continuously monitoring on a real time basis, as reported by each institution by the means for sending information within each institution (a) (i) the sending and receipt status of the instruments and (ii) the value of the instruments sent and received, as reported by each of the institutions,	and (b) the status in transit of the instruments with respect to their having been (i) sent and (ii) received, as reported by each of the institutions, according to the reporting of an institution's sending and receiving of
'007 Claim Terms for Construction		"means for continuous monitoring on a real time basis,	as reported by each institution by the means for sending information within each institution (a) (i) the sending and receipt status of the instruments and (ii) the value of the instruments sent and received, as reported by each of the institutions, and (b) the status in	rransit of the instruments with respect to their having been (i) sent and (ii) received, as reported by each of the institutions, according to the reporting of an institution's
o N		4.		

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\sf Page}$ B9

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC Document 638	Filed 06/28/2007 Page 29 of	f 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	instruments. Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '007 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 1:62-66; Col. 2:32-50; Col. 6:9-24; Col. 6:60-66; Col. 7:14-31; Fig. 1.	Information about whether the instruments have been physically sent and received. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 1:66-2:8; Col. 2:32-50; Col. 3:18-20; Col. 4:15-37; Col.5:61-66; Col.6:25-27; Col. 6:60-64; Amendment, pp. 12-13 (Dec. 8, 1992).	Electronic tracking information that can be used to identify the location of the
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	sending and receiving of instruments. Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: (1:62-66); (6:11-22) Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.	Does not need to be construed separately.	Does not need to be construed separately.
Plaintiff Construction	instruments. Corresponding Structure: A conventional programmable computer or central processing unit [1:62-65; Fig. 1 (CPU)], electronic communications links [Fig. 1], which may include conventional telephone links by modem connections and the like [6:22-24], and related software Intrinsic Evidence: '007 Patent, at Fig. 1 Col. 1:62-65; 2:39-45;; 6:9-14; 6:22-24; 6:61-64; 7:14-20 Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony of Terry L. Geer Testimony of David James	Information about the sending and receipt status of the instruments. Or, alternatively, Information about whether the instruments have been sent or received. Intrinsic Evidence: 2:5; 2:37-39; 4:15-22; 5:60-65; 6:25-27; 8:9-15	Information about transport of financial instruments sent and
'007 Claim Terms for Construction	sending and receiving of instruments," is in means-plusfunction format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)	"the sending and receipt status of the instruments"	"the status in transit of the instruments"
Š.		5.	16.

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\rm Page}~B10$

	Case	2:0	6-cv-0007	2-DF-C	CMC	Do	cum	nent i	738	F	Filed	06/	/28/2	2007	Pa	age	e 2 9	of 7	9
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	instruments in real time.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 1:66-2:8; Col. 2:32-50; Col. 3:18-20; Col. 4:15-37; Col.5:61-66; Col.6:25-27; Col. 6:60-64; Amendment, pp. 12-13 (Dec. 8, 1992).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Calculating debits and credits, based on the value of the install and another than the control of the the cont	institutions, as monitored on a real	time basis from information reported by the institutions, of (a) the amount	owing from or payable to each one of the pre-selected institutions with	respect to each of the other institutions and (b) an addredate amount owing	from or payable to each one of the pre-	selected institutions with respect to all of the other institutions.	Corresponding Structure: The	specification of the '007 patent does	not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 1:62-66: Col. 2:9-12: Col. 2:32-50:	Col. 6:11-22; Fig. 1.		
"Defendant Group 1" Construction				This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Calculating debits and credits, based on the value of the instance o	institutions, as monitored on a real	time basis from information reported by the institutions, of (a) the amount	owing from or payable to each one of the pre-selected institutions with	respect to each of the other institutions and (b) an aggregate	amount owing from or payable to	each one of the pre-selected institutions with respect to all of the	other institutions.	Corresponding Structure:	Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.	Intrincio Evidonos.		(1:62-66); (6:11-22); (6:20-22); FIG 1	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Perry.
Plaintiff Construction	received by the pre-selected financial institutions.	Intrinsic Evidence:	2:37-39; 4:15-22; 5:60-65; 6:25-27; 8:9-15	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Calculating debits and credits among the participating		Corresponding Structure: Software on a conventional programmable	computer or central processing unit (CPU).	Intrinsic Evidence:	i	.007 Patent, Fig. 1 Col. 1:62-65; 2:39-49; 6:20-22; 6:11- 16	<u>o</u> _	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony of Terry L. Geer Testimony of David James					
'007 Claim Terms for Construction				"means for calculating debits and credits, based on the value of the instruments sent and	received by the institutions, as monitored on a real time basis	institutions, of (a) the amount	owing from or payable to each one of the pre-selected	institutions with respect to each of the other institutions and (b)	an aggregate amount owing from or payable to each one of the	pre-selected institutions with	respect to all of the other institutions" is in means-plus-	function format subject to 35	0.0.0. % - 1.6(0)						
No.				17.															

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – Page B11

	Ca	se 2:06	6-cv-00072-l	OF-CMC	Docu	ment	t 638	File	ed 0 6/2	8/2007	Pag	e 30	of 7	8
"Defendant Group 2" Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Sending to each institution the information monitored with respect to instruments sent to an institution and the value of such instruments.	Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '007 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 6:20-24; FIG 1			This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	<u>Functions</u> : Controlling the physical transport of the financial instruments among the institutions and controlling	the means for calculating such that a final calculation of the debits and credits owing from or payable to, with	respect to each of the institutions with regard to each other of the institutions,	comprising the occurrence of the reqular periodic settlement among the	institutions, does not occur until pre-
"Defendant Group 1" Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Sending to each institution the information monitored with respect to instruments sent to an institution and the value of such instruments.	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the	Intrinsic Evidence:	(6:20-22); FIG 1	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Perry.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Functions: 1. Controlling on a regular and periodic basis the physical		Controlling on a regular and periodic basis the means for calculating such that a final	calculation of the debits and	credits owing from or payable to, with respect to each of the
Plaintiff Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Sending to each institution the information monitored with respect to the instruments sent to an institution and the value of such instruments.	Corresponding Structure: Electronic communications links, which may include conventional telephone links by modern connections, and the like	Intrinsic Evidence:		oo/ Fateril, at Fig. 1, Col. 0.22-24, potentially the file history.		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Cycling interrelated with the central processing unit (a) for controlling the physical transport of	the tinancial instruments among the institutions and (b) for controlling the means for calculating such that a final	calculation does not occur until predetermined local settlements	are completed.	Corresponding Structure: Rules and
'007 Claim Terms for Construction		"means for sending to each institution the information monitored with respect to	instruments sent to an institution and the value of such instruments" is in means-plusfunction format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)						"a cycling means interrelated with the central processing unit (a) for controlling the physical	transport of the financial instruments among the institutions and (b) for controlling	the means for calculating such that a final calculation of the debits and credits owing from or	payable to, with respect to each of the institutions with regard to	each other of the institutions, comprising the occurrence of the	regular periodic settlement
No.		18.							19.					

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – Page B12

Ca	ase 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CM	C Document 6	338	Filed 06/28/2007 Page 32 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction determined local settlements by the	institutions in the pre-selected sites with institutions that are not among the number of pre-selected financial institutions, are completed. Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '007 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited functions. Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 2:13-16; Col. 2:55-61; Col. 4:7-14; FIG 1; Amendment, pp. 11, 13-14 (Dec. 8, 1992).		Settlement between the member institutions occurs, if at all, at regular intervals. The settlement between members does not occur, however, until each member settles with the non-members of the clearinghouse in the member's locality. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 3:5-16; Col. 3:39-47; Col. 4:50-58; Col. 5:26-45; Col. 6:16-20; Col. 6:25-59; Fig. 1; Amendment, pp. 3-4 (Jan 2, 1992); Amendment, pp. 3-4 (Jan 2, 1992); Amendment, pp. 9 (Dec 8, 1992); Amendment After Final Action,
"Defendant Group 1" Construction institutions with regard to each	other of the institutions, comprising the occurrence of the regular periodic settlement among the institutions, does not occur until pre-determined local settlements by the institutions in the pre-selected sites with institutions that are not among the number of pre-selected financial institutions, are completed.	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Perry.	Settlement among the direct participants in the central check clearing system occurs after regular, prescheduled settlements in each geographical region between the direct participants in that region and institutions in that region that do not directly participate in the central check clearing system. Intrinsic Evidence: (3:5-16); (3:39-47); (4:50-58); (5:26-45); (6:16-20); (6:25-51); FIG 1; Language of Claims 1 and 4; Amendment (Dec. 8, 1992) at 9;
Plaintiff Construction parameters regarding time scheduling	where such rules and schedules are interrelated with the central processing unit (CPU). Intrinsic Evidence: '007 Patent, at Col. 3:10-25; 6:60-64;10:7-16; 2:55-66; 3:9-16; 3:39-42; 5:35-39; 6:9-23 Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony of Terry L. Geer Testimony of David James		"Final Calculation"No construction is necessary. "Debits and credits"Credits are the amounts owed by an institution; debits are the amounts payable to an institution. "Occurrence of the regular periodic settlement among the institutions"No construction is necessary. "Predetermined local settlements by the institutions in the preselected sites with institutions that are not among the number of preselected financial
'007 Claim Terms for Construction among the institutions, does not	occur until pre-determined local settlements by the institutions in the pre-selected sites with institutions that are not among the number of pre-selected financial institutions, are completed" is in means-plusfunction format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)			"a final calculation of the debits and credits, comprising the occurrence of the regular periodic settlement among the institutions, does not occur until pre-determined local settlements by the institutions in the preselected sites with institutions that are not among the number of pre-selected financial institutions, are completed"
No.				20.

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\rm Page}~B13$

	Case 2:06-	cv-0	0072-	DF-	СМС	Docur	nent 638	Fi	led 0€	3/28/2007	Page	32 c	of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	pp. 10-11 (May 5, 1993).				This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Sorting instruments by site locality of each other of the preselected institutions and in which the	instruments sorted by site are sent by site sort category to institutions at sites within the site sort categories.	Corresponding Structure: Sorters and air and ground transportation.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 1:65-68; Col. 4:41-49; Col. 5:17- 25; Col. 7:1-13.			
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Amendment (May 29, 1991) at 3 Amendment (Jan. 2, 1992) at 3-4 Amendment (May 5, 1993) at 10-11				This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	<u>Function</u> : Sorting instruments by site locality of each other of the preselected institutions and in which the	instruments by site are sent by site sort category to institutions at sites within the site sort categories.	Corresponding Structure: A sorter, air and ground transportation.	Intrinsic Evidence:	(1:65-68); (4:41-49); (7:1-13)			
Plaintiff Construction	institutions"settlements between a user and non-user of the clearinghouse that occurs at a regular interval.	<u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u>	'007 Patent, at 3:29-54		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunctions: 1) Sorting by the site locality of each other of the pre-selected institutions,	 sending the instruments sorted by site category to institutions at sites within the site sort categories 	Corresponding Structure:	1) For the first function, sorters [7:3] or sort machines [4:46-7]	2) For the second function, a preselected institution's physical facility (i.e., bank, member bank, receiving bank, Participating Bank B), and its	relationship with all of ground transportation. [Fig. 1 (solid directional lines); 1:66-2:1; 4:15-22]	Intrinsic Evidence:	'007 Patent, at 4:46-47; Fig. 1 (solid directional lines);
'007 Claim Terms for Construction				Claim 2	"means at an institution by which instruments are sorted by the site locality of each other of the	pre-selected institutions and in which the instruments sorted by site are sent by site sort category	to institutions at sites within the site sort categories" is in meansplus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6))					
No.					21.								

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\rm Page}$ B14

	Case 2	:06-	cv-00072-DF-CM	IC D	ocume	ent 638	3 File	ed 0 6 /2	28/2007	Page 3	3 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction				This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	(1) Function: Sending and receiving financial instruments to be cleared.	Corresponding Structure: Air and ground transportation.	(2) Function: Sending and receiving in real time information reporting the value and transit status of the financial	instruments to be cleared, to a programmed central processing unit.	Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '007 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited functions.	(3) Function: Addressing the central processing unit by which a participant may determine in real time the	information received by the processing unit with respect to that participant's relative credit and debit obligations with respect to other institutions arising
"Defendant Group 1" Construction			Preamble contains limiting terms, including term(s) requiring construction(s).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunctions: 1) Sending and receiving financial instruments to be cleared:	2) Sending and receiving in real time information reporting the	value and transit status of the financial instruments to be cleared, to a programmed central processing unit: and	3) Addressing the central processing unit by which a	time the information received by the processing unit with respect to that participant's relative credit	respect to other institutions arising from the instruments that are reported to be sent and received.	Corresponding Structure: 1) Air and ground transportation. 2) Specification does not disclose
Plaintiff Construction	Col. 1:66-2:1; 4:15-20; 5:61-63; 6:25 ; 4:41-48		Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	(1) Function: Sending and receiving financial instruments to be cleared.	ground transportation and a pre- selected institution's physical facility	(<i>i.e.</i> , bank, member bank, Participating Bank A/B, sending/receiving bank).	(2) Function: Sending and receiving in real time information reporting the	value and transit status of the financial instruments to be cleared to a programmed central processing unit. Corresponding Structure: Electronic		(3) Function: Addressing the central processing unit by which a participant may determine in real time the information received by the
'007 Claim Terms for Construction		Claim 4	Preamble should be construed as limiting	"means at each of the participants (1) for sending and	be cleared and (2) for sending and receiving in real time information reporting the value	and transit status of the financial instruments to be cleared, to a	programmed central processing unit, and (3) for addressing the central processing unit by which	a participant may determine in real time the information received by the processing unit	with respect to that participant's relative credit and debit obligations with respect to other institutions arising from the	instruments that are reported to be sent and received" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)	
No.			22.	23.							

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – Page B15

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC	Docume	ent 638	Filed	06/28/2	2007	Page 35 of 7	'9
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	from the instruments that are reported to be sent and received. Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '007 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 1:66-2:1; Col. 4:15-22; Col. 5:61-63; Col. 6:20-24; Fig. 1; Amendment, pp. 8-10 (Dec. 8, 1992); Amendment After Final Action, p. 8 (May 5, 1993).		See "the status in transit of the instruments".	Electronic tracking information that can be used to identify the location of the instruments in real time.	Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 1:66-2:8: Col. 2:32-50: Col. 3:18-	20; Col. 4:15-37; Col.5:61-66; Col.6:25-27; Col. 6:60-64; Amendment, pp. 12-13 (Dec. 8, 1992).		
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	structure sufficient to perform the recited Function 2. 3) Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited Function 3. Intrinsic Evidence: (1:66-68); (1:66-2:1); (4:15-22); (5:61-63); (6:20-24); FIG 1; Amendment After Final Office Action (May 5, 1993) at 8; Amendment After Final Office Action, p.8 (05-06-93).	Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.	Information about whether the instruments have been physically sent and received.	Intrinsic Evidence:	(2.1-6), (2.31-50); (3.16-20); (4.15-37); (5:61-66); Language of Claims 1 and 4; Amendment (Dec. 8, 1992) at 12	Extrinsic Evidence:	"status", Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language Unabridged (1986) – "3. state of affairs: situation."	"status", The Oxford English
Plaintiff Construction	processing unit. Corresponding Structure: Electronic communications links, which may include conventional telephone links by modem connections and the like. Intrinsic Evidence: '007 Patent, at Col. 6:22-24: 6:11-13:7:17-21; potentially the file history. Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony of David James	Information about the status in transit of the instruments, namely, whether the instrument has been sent and/or	whether the instrument has been received.	"Infilisic Evidence: '007 Patent, at Col. 7:61-63 'Col. 2:37- 39; 4:15-22; 5:61-66; 6:25-27; and	8:9-15		
'007 Claim Terms for Construction			"transit status of the financial instruments to be cleared"					
No.			24.					

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – ${\rm Page}~B16$

	Case 2:	06-cv-00072-DF-CMC	Document 638 Filed 06/28/20	07 Page 36 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Calculating debits and credits owing from or payable (1) to one member to another member and (2) from or to one member to all other members, based upon the value of instruments reported by a participant as having been sent and received.	Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '007 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 1:62-66; Col. 2:9-12; Col. 6:9-22; Figure 1.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Functions: Receiving and recording a participant's reports of the value and transit status of the instruments to be cleared as having been sent and received with respect to all participants in the system.
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Dictionary (2d ed. 1989) – "4. a. condition of things."	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Calculating debits and credits owing from or payable (1) to one member to another member and (2) from or to one member to all other members, based upon the value of instruments reported by a participant as having been sent and	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: (1:62-66); (6:11-22); (6:20-22); FIG 1 Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Receiving and recording a participant's reports of the value and transit status of the instruments to be cleared as having been sent and received with respect to all participants in the system.
Plaintiff Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Calculating debits and credits among the participating members. Corresponding Structure: Software on a conventional programmable computer or central processing unit	Intrinsic Evidence: '007 Patent, at Fig. 1 Col. 6:20-22; 2:39-49;1:62-65; 6:11-16 Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony of Terry L. Geer Testimony of David James	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: receiving and recording a participant's reports of the value and transit status of the instruments to be cleared as having been sent and received with respect to all participants in the system
'007 Claim Terms for Construction		"means for calculating debits and credits owing from or payable (1) to one member to another member and (2) from or to one member to all other members, based upon the value of instruments reported by a participant as having been sent and received" is in means-plusfunction format subject to 35	0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0.0	"means for receiving and recording a participant's reports of the value and transit status of the instruments to be cleared as having been sent and received with respect to all participants in the system" is in means-plusfunction format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)
No.		25.		26.

EXHIBIT B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – Page B17

	Case 2:06-cv	'-00 0)72-DF	-CM	C D	ocume	nt 🛚 🖁 8	3 F	Filed	06/2	28/20	007		Pag	e 3	6 of	79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '007 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited functions.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 2:9-12; Col. 6:9-59; Fig. 1; Amendment After Final Action, p. 8	(May 0, 1993).		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Function: Monitoring on a real time as reported basis (1) the actual sending	from and receipt by a participant of the value of instruments being cleared as	reported by the participants, and (2) the sending from and receipt by a	participant of the actual instruments being cleared, said means for	monitoring being operatively interconnected to the means for	calculating whereby debits and credits	owing from one member to another may be determined and monitored on	a continuous basis in real time as	of the instruments to be cleared are	reported by the participants and received by the processing unit.	Corresponding Structure: The
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Amendment After Final Office Action (May 6, 1993) at 8	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Perry.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	<u>Function</u> : Monitoring on a real time as reported basis (1) the actual	sending from and receipt by a participant of the value of	instruments being cleared as reported by the participants, and (2)	the sending from and receipt by a participant of the actual instruments	being cleared, said means for monitoring being operatively	interconnected to the means for	calculating writereby debits and credits owing from one member to	another may be determined and	real time as reports of the value and	transit status of the instruments to be cleared are reported by the	participants and received by the processing unit.
Plaintiff Construction	Corresponding Structure: Software associated with an accounting system running on the central processing unit (CPU).	Intrinsic Evidence:	'007 Patent, at Col. 6:20-24;1:62-66; 2:2-4; 2:11-12 ; 3:31	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony of Terry L. Geer Testimony of David James	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	<u>Function</u> : Monitoring on a real time as reported basis.	Corresponding Structure: Software	associated with a conventional programmable computer or central	processing unit [Fig. 1 (CPU)] operably interconnected with software	associated with the accounting system on the CPU.			'007 Patent, at Col. 6:20-22; 1:62-65: Fig. 1: 6:9-14: 2:39-45:	6:61-64; 7:14-20	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony of Terry L. Geer
'007 Claim Terms for Construction						"means for monitoring on a real time as reported basis (1) the actual sending from and receipt	by a participant of the value of instruments being cleared as	reported by the participants, and (2) the sending from and receipt	by a participant of the actual instruments being cleared, said	means for monitoring being operatively interconnected to the	means for calculating whereby debits and credits owing from	one member to another may be	continuous basis in real time as	reports of the value and transit	cleared are reported by the	participants and received by the processing unit" is in means-	plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)
N O						27.											

	Case 2:06-cv	-00072-DF-CMC	Document 638	Filed 06/28/2007	Page 38 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	specification of the '007 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 1:62-66; Col. 2:32-50; Col. 6:9-24; Col. 6:60-66; Col. 7:14-31; Fig. 1.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunctions: Determining the time of physical transport of financial instruments between and among the participants according to a	predetermined time cycle, and determining the occurrence of a final settlement by the clearinghouse participants at a pre-determined time until after a time that certain predetermined local settlements in the localities, by the participants in the localities, are completed.	Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '007 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited functions. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 2:13-16; Col. 2:55-61; Col. 4:7-14;
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence: (1:62-66); (6:11-22); (6:20-22); FIG 1 Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Functions: 1) Determining the time of physical transport of financial instruments between and among the	participants according to a predetermined time cycle; and 2) Determining the occurrence of a final settlement by the clearinghouse participants at a pre-determined time until after a time that certain pre-determined local settlements in the localities, by the participants in the	localities, are completed. Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Extrinsic Evidence:
Plaintiff Construction	Testimony of David James		Plaintiff contends that this term is not governed by 35 USC § 112(6). Predetermined time schedule. Intrinsic Evidence:	Extrinsic Evidence: Extrinsic Evidence: Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc., p. 744 (1994) (defining "predetermine" as "to settle	or decide in advance").
'007 Claim Terms for Construction			"a time control for determining the time of physical transport of financial instruments between and among the participants according to a predetermined time cycle, and for determining the occurrence of a final	settlement by the clearinghouse participants at a pre-determined time until after a time that certain pre-determined local settlements in the localities, by the participants in the localities, are completed" is in means-plusfunction format subject to 35 U.S.C. & 112(6)	
No.			28.		

	Case 2:06-c	v-00072-DF-CMC	Document 638	Filed 06/28/2007	Page 39 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	Col. 5:26-40; Col. 6: 11-22; Fig. 1; Amendment, p. 8 (May 14, 1992); Amendment, pp. 12-14 (Dec. 8,1993).	Determining on a regular interval when each of the financial instruments was sent and received between and among the participants. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 2:55-61; Col. 5:26-45; Col. 5:61-63; Amendment, pp. 9, 12-13 (Dec 8,	1992).	Initiating a settlement between the member institutions, if at all, at regular intervals. The settlement between members does not occur, however, until each member settles with the non-members of the clearinghouse in the member's locality.	Col. 3:5-16; Col. 3:39-47; Col. 4:50-58; Col. 5:26-45; Col. 6:16-20; Col. 6:25-59; Fig. 1; Amendment, p. 3 (May 29, 1991); Amendment, pp. 3-4 (Jan 2, 1992); Amendment, pp. 9-10 (Dec 8, 1992) Amendment After Final Action, p. 8 (May 5, 1993).
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Testimony from Dr. Perry.	Does not need to be construed separately.		Settlement among the direct participants in the central check clearing system occurs after regular, prescheduled settlements in each geographical region between the direct participants in that region and institutions in that region that do not directly participate in the central check clearing system.	Intrinsic Evidence: (3:5-16); (3:39-47); (4:50-58); (5:26-45); (6:16-20); (6:25-51); FIG 1; Language of Claims 1 and 4; Amendment (Dec. 8, 1992) at 9; Amendment (May 29, 1991) at 3;
Plaintiff Construction		Predetermined time schedule. Intrinsic Evidence: '007 Patent, at Col. 2:55-58; 3:13-16; 5:37-39 Extrinsic Evidence:	Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc., p. 744 (1994) (defining "predetermine" as "to settle or decide in advance")	Establishing by participant rules settlement time, that accommodates processing, procedures, and transportation needs of all participants regardless of location and time zone. This final settlement occurs after certain predetermined local settlements.	intrinsic Evidence: '007 Patent, at Col. 4:15-40; 10:7-16;
'007 Claim Terms for Construction		"determining the time of physical transport of financial instruments between and among the participants according to a predetermined time cycle"		"[determining] the occurrence of a final settlement by the clearinghouse participants at a pre-determined time until after a time that certain predetermined local settlements in the localities, by the participants in the localities, are completed"	
O		59.		30.	

Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC	Document 638	Filed 06/28/2007	Page 3 9 of 79
---------------------------	--------------	------------------	------------------------------

B TO JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT IN	NCE WITH PATENT RULE 4-3 – Page B21
EXHIBIT B TO JOINT	WITF

	Case
"Defendant Group 1" Construction "Defendant Group 2" Construction	
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Amendment (Jan. 2, 1992) at 3-4; Amendment (May 5, 1993) at 10-11
Plaintiff Construction	
'007 Claim Terms for Construction	
Š.	

Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC

DataTreasury Corporation v. Wells Fargo & Company, et al. P.R. 4-3(b) PARTIES DISPUTED CONSTRUCTIONS FOR U.S. PATENT NO. 5,717,868

EXHIBIT C

Filed 06/28/2007

Document 638

Page 40 of 79

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DI	F-CMC Document 638 Filed 06	3/28/2007 Page 4	12 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 5:22-31; Col. 6:6-11; Col. 6:41- 45; Col. 8:21-27; Fig. 1; Fig. 2; Applicant's Response, p. 24 (June 13, 1997).	Participant institution that has previously agreed to exchange financial instrument information with other participant institutions by way of the central translator. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 1:5-17; Col. 1:53-2:15; Col. 2:23-26; Col. 4:50-58; Col. 5:6-13; Col. 6:36-49; Col. 8:53 - 9:9; Col. 10:8-15; Col. 10:22-24; FIG 1; FIG 2; Applicant's Response, pp. 24, 29, 33 (June 13, 1997)	Financial institution. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 1:5-17; Col. 2:23-26; Col. 4:50-58; Col. 6:36-42; Col. 7:42-47; Col. 7:57-58, Col. 7:64-65; Col. 10:21-26.	Information representing multiple financial instruments intended for multiple recipients mixed together in a single data file.
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Intrinsic Evidence: (5:28-31); (6:41-45); (8:21-27); (10:50-52); FIG 1; FIG 2 Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.	Does not need to be construed separately.	Bank or other financial institution. Intrinsic Evidence: (1:5-17);(2:23-25); (4:50-58); (6:36-37); (7:42-47); (7:57-58, 64-65); (10:21-26)	Multiple records in a single electronic data file having information from multiple financial instruments intended for multiple recipients.
Plaintiff Construction	'868 Patent, at Fig. on front page; Fig. 2; Col. 3:48-57; 4:50-58; 6:6-10; 5:28-33;8:21-24 Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony of David James	Participant institution that has previously agreed to exchange financial instrument information with another participant institution. Intrinsic Evidence: '868 Patent, at Col.5 l.63- 67	A business, bank, or other commercial entity. Intrinsic Evidence: '868 Patent, at Col.5 I.63- 67.	Co-mingled: "combined financial instrument information intended for one or more of a multiple of receiving institutions or settlement
'868 Claim Terms for Construction	information in the data file is to be received by one or more than one predetermined institution" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	"predetermined institution"	"institution"	"co-mingled records" "co-mingled financial instrument information" (Claim 24)
O		4.	5.	9.

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC)
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 3:58-61; Col. 4:38-43; Col. 6:60-61; Col. 7:1-3; Col. 8:59-66; Applicant's Response, pp. 24,-27, 29, 32-35 (June 13, 1997). Extrinsic Evidence: Dictionary of Banking (1994).	The particular arrangement of
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Intrinsic Evidence: (3:58-61); (4:38-43); (6:60-61); (7:1-3); (8:60-65); (10:52-53); (10:59-60); (11:42-45); Response to First Office Action pp. 24-27, 33, 35. Extrinsic Evidence: "commingle", Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (1993) – "to blend thoroughly into a harmonious whole."	A unique arrangement of electronic
Plaintiff Construction	mechanisms." Note: "co-mingled information about financial instruments" (Claim 45) appears only in the preamble, and does not need to be construed. Intrinsic Evidence: "868 Patent, at Col. 3:59-61 Extrinsic Evidence: Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc., p. 296 (1994) (defining "commingle" as "to mix or mingle together; combine.") Records: "portions of files sent and received between financial institutions, which contain various data fields." Intrinsic Evidence: "868 Patent, at Col. 5:33-40; 6:60-63; 8:53-58; 9:59-10:3 Extrinsic Evidence: Modern Dictionary of Electronics, 6th ed., Graf, p. 834 (1997). (defining "record: as "(2) a group of related facts or fields of information handled as a unit; thus a listing of information, usually printed or in printable form	The arrangement of data fields within
'868 Claim Terms for Construction	"co-mingled financial instrument information intended for multiple receiving institutions" (Claim 24) "co-mingled information about financial instruments" (Claim 45) "co-mingled financial instrument information addressed to multiple receiving institutions" (Claim 61)	"file format"
No.		7.

	Case 2:0	6-cv-	00072	-DF-CI	ИС	Doc	ument	638	Filed	d 06/	2 8/200 7	Pa	ge 4	l 3 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	information within individual data fields or ranges of data fields within a particular record.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 1:10-14; Col. 1:43-63; Col. 5:33-48; Col. 5:49-54; Col. 6:29-32; Col. 8:4-6; Col. 8:59-65; Col. 9:10-13.	Extrinsic Evidence:	Random House Webster's Computer and Internet Dictionary, 3rd Ed.	(1999); ANSI X9.37-1994; The Complete Guide to Rules and	Regulations Governing the ACH Network (1993).	A central processing unit programmed to perform a specified function located at a common contral	facility.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 1:53-2:15; Col. 6:6-11; Col. 8:21-29; Col. 10:8-15; Fig 2; Office Action, p. 3 (Nov. 20, 1996); Applicant's Response, p. 24, 29, 33 (June 13,	1997).		
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	data fields in a settlement record. Intrinsic Evidence:	(1:43-63); (5:33-48); (5:49-54); (6:29-32); (8:4-6); (8:59-65); (9:10-13)	Extrinsic Evidence:	"format", The New Webster's Comprehensive Dictionary (1985) – "the arrangement of fields in a	record."	U.S. Patent No. 5,526,409 (17:32-45)		A single central processing unit, connected to all originating and	which all data files are transmitted.	Intrinsic Evidence:	(6:6-11); (8:21-28); (10:8-15); FIG 2; Patent Title ("concentrator"); Office Action (Nov 20, 1996) at 3; Amendment (June 13, 1997) at 24,	29, 33	Extrinsic Evidence:	"processor", Academic Press Dictionary of Science and Technology (1993) – "a device that interprets and executes instructions."
Plaintiff Construction	a record, and the arrangement of, and definitions of different types of, records within a data file.	Intrinsic Evidence:	'868 Patent, at Col. 6:33-35;Claim 45(b); 5:37-40; Claim 45(c)					A central processing unit programmed to perform a specified	Intrinsic Evidence:	'868 Patent, at Item 21, Fig. 2;				
'868 Claim Terms for Construction								"processor"						
No.								ω̈						

	Case 2:06-cv-	00072-DF-C	MC Docum	ent 638	Filed 06/2	8/2007	Page 48	of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction		Information representing a particular financial instrument. Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 1:6-14; Col. 1:43-52; Col. 2:52-63; Col. 3:3-5; Col. 3:51-52; Col. 4:51-58; Col. 5:14-21; Col. 6:36-39; Col. 9:50-10:7; Fig. 1; Abstract.	Converting the data representing each financial instrument in each bundle (portion) from the first file format selected by the sending	institution to a second, different file format selected by the receiving institution.	Col. 1:5-10; Col. 1:43-2:15; Col. 2:59-63; Col. 3:1-9; Col. 3:14-15; Col.	7.10-10, Cor. 7.33-30, Cor. 7.30-30, Col. 5:51-56; Co. 6:6-11; Col. 6:27- 32; Col. 6:45-49; Col. 6:64-67; Col. 7:47-Col. 8-3; Col. 8:24-29; Col. 8:58- 9:5; Col. 10:8-15; Fig 1; Office Action,	pp. 2-3, 5-6, 7-8 (Nov. 20, 1996); Applicant's Response, pp. 24-27, 30- 31, 34-35 (June 13, 1997).
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	"centralized data processing", The New Webster's Comprehensive Dictionary CD-11 (1985) – "the handling of all data in one place."	Information derived from a financial instrument. Intrinsic Evidence:	(1:6-10); (1:43-45, 49-52); (2:18-20); (2:40-41); (2:52-63); (3:3-5); (3:51-52); (4:51-58); (5:14-21); (6:36-39); (9:50-10:7); (12:24-34); Abstract, FIG	Converting the records in each bundle from one financial file format to a dissimilar and incompatible financial file format selected by a	receiving institution designated to receive the information. Intrinsic Evidence:	(1:7-8); (1:16); (1:61-62); (2:22); (2:59-63); (3:1-9); (3:5-9); (3:14-15); (4:10-13); (4:33-36); (4:58-60); (5:51-	9.5), (0.45-49), (0.67-17), (0.67-67), (7:39-42); (7:46-66); (8:25-29); (8:58-9:5); (10:11-15); (10:61-67); FIG 1; FIG 2; Office Action (Nov 20, 1996); Amendment (June 13, 1997) at 24-	26, 30, 35 Extrinsic Evidence:
Plaintiff Construction		Information representing financial instruments and electronic funds transfers, and additional system generated information.	Intrinsic Evidence: '868 Patent, at Col. 4:50-64.	Converting the records in each bundle from the first file format to a second file format determined in advance by the receiving institution.	Intrinsic Evidence: '868 Patent, at Col. 3:5-10; Col. 3:14; Col. 5:636:10; Col. 8:20-23.			
'868 Claim Terms for Construction		"financial instrument information"		"translating the records in each bundle of said financial instrument information records from said first data file format into	a data file format selected by the predetermined institution designated to receive the information"	"translating each portion of said separated financial instrument information in said first data file	preselected by the receiving institution associated therewith" (Claim 24)	"translating each bundle of said separated financial instrument information into a data file format
O		·6		10.				

Г	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CN	//C Docu	ment 638	Filed 06/28/200	7 Pa	age 46	of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	Extrinsic Evidence: International Dictionary of Data Communications (1998); Webster's New World Dictionary of Computer Terms, 6th Ed. (1997); Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary, (1996); ANSI X9.37-1994; The Complete Guide to Rules and Regulations Governing the ACH Network (1993).				This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Separating and bundling and for translating said records.	Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '868 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	"translate", McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms (1994) – "to convert computer information from one language to another, or to convert characters from one representation set to another, and by extension, the computer instruction which directs the latter conversion to be carried out." "translate", The New Webster's	Comprehensive Dictionary CD-74 (1985) – "to convert programs or data from one form or language to another."	"predetermine", Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc. (1994) – "to settle or decide in advance."	"preselect", Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc. (1994) – "to select in advance; choose beforehand."	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	<u>Function:</u> Separating and bundling records and translating records.	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the
Plaintiff Construction					This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Separating and bundling and for translating said records.	Corresponding Structure: Data processing and signal generation procedures along with file format
'868 Claim Terms for Construction	preselected by the receiving institution corresponding thereto" (Claim 45) "translating each bundle of said separated financial instrument information in said first data file format into a data file format selected by the receiving institution associated therewith" (Claim 61)	"translating each portion of said data file in said first file format into a file format selected by the receiving institution" (Claim 80)			"program means for separating and bundling and for translating said records" is in means-plus-	function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)	
No.					. .		

	Cas	e 2:0	6-cv-	-0007	2-DF-CN	IC D	ocun	nent	688	Fi	led 06/2	28/200)7	Page 4	8 of 7	'9
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	perform the recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 6:6-11; Col. 8:21-32.			This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	<u>Function:</u> Storing said bundled financial instrument information in an	addressable media where the bundled financial instrument	information is uniquely accessible to the institution designated to receive	the inormation:	Corresponding Structure: Memory/Mailboxes M23, M24MN of Figure 2.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 3:16-18; Col. 3:33-36; Col. 4:19-	21, COI. 4.80-84, COI. 7.8-10, COI. 8:29-32; COI. 8:48-52; COI. 9:3-5; FIG 2.		
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence:	(8:21-32)	Extrinsic Evidence:	l estimony trom Dr. Perry.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Function: Storing said bundled financial instrument information in an	addressable media where the bundled financial instrument	information is accessible only by the institution designated to receive the information	mormanon.	Corresponding Structure: A mailbox or other partition within a memory device that is allocated to and	uniquely accessible only by a specific receiving institution.	Intrinsic Evidence:	(3:16-18); (3:33-36); (4:19-21); (4:60-64); (7:6-18); (8:29-32); (9:3-5); FIG 2	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Perry.
Plaintiff Construction	translation protocols.	Intrinsic Evidence:	3:5-10; 6:6-10; 6:45-49; 6:61-67; 8:20-29	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Mullineaux Testimony of David James	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	<u>Function</u> : Storing said bundled financial instrument information in an	addressable media.	Corresponding Structure: Archival storage 25 or memory M23,	WZ4WIN.	Intrinsic Evidence: '868 Patent, at Fig. on front page; Fig.	2;Col. 3:18-20; 4:19-26; 7:5-8; 8:44- 48				
'868 Claim Terms for Construction						"means for storing said bundled financial instrument information in addressable media where the	bundled financial instrument information is uniquely accessible	to the institution designated to receive the information" is in	means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).							
No.						12.										

	Case 2:06-c	v-0007	2-DF-CMC	Do	cument	688	Filed 06	3/28/20	07	Page	4 8 o	f 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunctions: (1) Transmitting from the receiving institution to the means for storing; and (2) Receiving at the receiving	storing.	Corresponding Structure: A communication link.	<u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> :	Col. 4:60-64; Col. 6:27-29; Col. 6:48-49; Col. 7:15-16; Col. 8:35-40; Col. 8:48-52; Col. 9:5-9; Col. 9:21-25; Fig. 1; Fig. 2.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	<u>Function</u> : Transmitting a bundle of said stored financial instrument	information from the addressable storage media to the institution	uesignated to receive the information upon the receipt of an instruction.	Corresponding Structure: A communication link.
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	"uniquely", The Oxford English Dictionary (1989) – "1. exclusively; solely; only."	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Function(s): 1) Transmit from the receiving institution to the means for storing; and 2) Receive at the receiving	storing.	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose	recited function.	Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Function: Transmitting a bundle of said stored financial instrument	information from the addressable storage media to the institution	designated to receive the information upon the receipt of an instruction.	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose
Plaintiff Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: For the institution designed to receive the information to transmit to and to receive from the means for storing.	Communication link 12.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 8:4-11; 8:41; 8:48-52		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	<u>Function</u> : Transmitting a bundle of said stored financial instrument	Information from the addressable storage media.	Corresponding Structure: Communication link 12.	Intrinsic Evidence:
'868 Claim Terms for Construction		"means for the institution designed to receive the information to transmit to and to	receive from the means for storing, is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).					"means for transmitting a bundle of said stored financial instrument information from the addressable	storage media to the institution designated to receive the	information upon the receipt of an instruction" is in means-plus-	U.S.C. § 112(6).	
No		13.						14.				

	Case	2:06	-cv-00	072	P-DF-C	MC	Do	cur	nent 6	338	F	iled	06/2	18/20	007	Page 4	9 of 7	79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col. 4:60-64; Col. 6:27-29; Col. 6:48-	49, COI. 7.12-17, COI. 0.33-40, COI. 9:5-9; Fig. 1; Fig. 2.		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Validating the identifying information of the originating	institution and said designated		Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '868 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to	perform the recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence:	20 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E 8 E	21.					
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	structure sufficient to perform the recited function.	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Perry.		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Analyzing the identifying information of the originating	institution and said designated	institutions are system participants.	Corresponding Structure:	structure sufficient to perform the	recited furficitori.	Intrinsic Evidence:	(5:63-67); (8:16-21)	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Perry.	"validate", The Oxford English Dictionary (2d ed. 1989) – "2. a. to make valid or of good authority; to	confirm or corroborate; to substantiate or support."	"validate", Webster's Third New
Plaintiff Construction	'868 Patent, at Fig. 1; Fig. 2;	001. 0.4-11, 0.41,0.40-02, 9.5-9			Plaintiff contends this element is not governed by 35 USC § 112(6).	Plaintiff's Construction: A central processing unit.	Intrincic evidence:		'868 Patent, at Col. 6:6-26 (processor); Claims 24 and 45	See also 5:63-67.								
'868 Claim Terms for Construction				Claim 2	"means for validating the identifying information of the originating institution and said	designated receiving institution" is in means-plus-function format	subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)											
No.					15.													

	Case 2:06-cv-0007	'2-DF-0	CMC Docu	ment 638	File	d 0 6 /	2 8/2	2007	Page 5	9 of 7	79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Function: Authenticating the financial instrument information contained in said first data file format with respect to predetermined data format parameters.	Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '868 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence:	Col.6:15-26; Col. 6:27-35; Col. 8:16-23; Applicant's Response, p. 33 (June	13, 1997).		The standard arrangement of information within individual data fields associated with a specific	ACH, ECP, etc.	Intrinsic Evidence:
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	International Dictionary (1993) – "validate" means "verify," which means "to check or test the accuracy or exactness of; confirm the truth or truthfulness of by or as if by comparison with known data or a recognized standard or authority."	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Authenticating the financial instrument information contained in said first data file format with respect to predetermined data format parameters.	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence:	(6:27-35)	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Perry.	Pre-selected specification defining the arrangement of data fields within a financial record.	Intrinsic Evidence:	(1:43-63); (3:24-26); (5:33-48); (5:49-
Plaintiff Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Function: Authenticating the financial instrument information contained in said first data file format with respect to predetermined data format parameters.	Corresponding Structure: A central processing unit.	'868 Patent, at Col. 6:6-26.				Predetermined standards associated with data file formats regarding the arrangement of data within data fields	where the ariangement comorns to the particular parameters associated with a coordination floor transfor protects	with a specific file transfer protocol.
'868 Claim Terms for Construction		"means for authenticating the financial instrument information contained in said first data file	format with respect to predetermined data format parameters" is in means-plus- function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)						"predetermined data format parameter(s)"		
No.		16.							17.		

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC	Document 638 Filed 06/28/2007 Pa	ge 5	50 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	Col. 1:43-63; Col. 3:24-26; Col. 5:33-54; Col. 6:29-35; Col. 8:4-6; Col. 8:59-65; Col. 9:10-21; Fig. 1. Extrinsic Evidence: Random House Webster's Computer and Internet Dictionary, 3rd Ed. (1999); ANSI X9.37-1994; The Complete Guide to Rules and Regulations Governing the ACH Network (1993).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Determining a data file format acceptable to the designated institution. Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '868 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 6:27-32; Col. 6:64-67; Col. 7:2-4; Fig. 2.		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). <u>Function:</u> Preventing the
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	54); (6:29-32); (6:32-35); (8:4-6); (8:59-65); (9:10-13); (9:14-21); (11:21-23); FIG 1 Extrinsic Evidence: "predetermine", Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc. (1994) – "to settle or decide in advance."	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Determining a data file format acceptable to the designated institution. Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). <u>Function:</u> Preventing unauthorized
Plaintiff Construction	Intrinsic Evidence '868 Patent, at Col. 6:33-35; Claim 45(b).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Determining a data file format acceptable to the designated institution. Corresponding Structure: Central processing unit validation procedure or program routine. Intrinsic Evidence '868 Patent, at Col. 6:33-35; Claim 45(b).		Plaintiff contends that this phrase is not governed by 35 USC § 112(6). Software running on a processor
'868 Claim Terms for Construction		"means for determining a data file format acceptable to the designated institution" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)	Claim 3	"a security mechanism for preventing the unauthorized one or more of the reception, transmission, translation and
No.		8-		19.

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC D	ocu	ment 638 Filed 0	%/28/2007	Page 52 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	unauthorized one or more of the reception, transmission, translation and storage of financial instrument information. Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '868 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 6:11-17; Col. 8:8-16; Applicant's Response, pp. 34, 37 (June 13, 1997).			This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Receiving a data file from the originating institution.	Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '868 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 5:22-31; Fig. 1; Fig. 2.
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	reception, transmission, translation and storage of financial instrument information. Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.		Preamble contains limiting terms, including term(s) requiring construction(s).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). <u>Function:</u> Receiving a data file from the originating institution.	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.
Plaintiff Construction	which limits only authorized originating and receiving institutions to receive, transmit, translate, and/or store financial instrument information. Intrinsic Evidence '868 Patent, at Col. 6:11-26; 8:8-23 Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony of David James		Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Means for receiving a data file from the originating institution	Corresponding Structure: Translator 1 within a central processing unit. Intrinsic Evidence '868 Patent, at Fig. on front page; Fig. 2; Col. 3:48-57; 4:50-58; 6:6-10; 5:28-
'868 Claim Terms for Construction	storage of financial instrument information" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)	Claim 24	Preamble should be construed as limiting.	"means for receiving a data file from the originating institution, said data file being in a first file format established by the originating institution and	comprising co-mingled financial instrument information intended for multiple receiving institutions, the data file further including a designation that specified information in the data file is to be received by a predetermined receiving institution" is in means-
No.			20.	21.	

	Ca	se 2:	06-cv-	00072	-DF-CMC	Docum	ent 638	Filed 06	/28/200	7	Page 52	of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction				This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Storing said separated financial instrument information according to the separate portions thereof in a memory storage device in	a manner such that each separate portion is uniquely accessible to the receiving institution associated therewith.	Corresponding Structure: Memory/Mailboxes M23, M24MN of Figure 2.	Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 3:16-18; Col. 3:33-36; Col. 4:19- 21; Col. 4:60-64; Col. 7:6-18; Col.	0.58-36, COI. 9.3-3, TIG. A.			This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	FIG 2	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Perry.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Function: Storing said separated financial instrument information according to the separate portions thereof in a memory storage device in	a manner such that each separate portion is accessible only by the receiving institution associated therewith.	Corresponding Structure: A mailbox or other partition within a memory device that is allocated to and	institution.	(3:16-18); (3:33-36); (4:19-21); (4:60-64); (7:6-18); (8:29-32); (9:3-5); FIG 2	Extrinsic Evidence:	"uniquely", The Oxford English Dictionary (1989) – "1. exclusively; solely; only."	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
Plaintiff Construction	33; 8:21-24.	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony of David James	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Function: Storing said separated financial instrument information according to the separate portions thereof	Corresponding Structure: Memory/mailboxes M23, M24MN.	Intrinsic Evidence: '868 Patent, at Fig. 2; at Col. 3:64-67; 4:60-64; 7:6.					This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
'868 Claim Terms for Construction	plus-function format subject to 35	U.S.C. § 112(6).		"means for storing said separated financial instrument information	according to the separate portions thereof in a memory storage device in a manner such that each separate portion is uniquely accessible to the	receiving institution associated therewith" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).						"means for transmitting each portion of said separated financial
No.				22.								23.

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC Docur	nen	1638 Fil	led 06/28	3/2007	Page 53 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	Eunction: Transmitting each portion of said separated financial instrument information stored in the memory storage device to, and in the format selected by, the receiving institution associated therewith. Corresponding Structure: A communication link. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 4:60-64; Col. 6:27-29; Col. 6:48-49; Col. 7:12-17; Col. 8:35-40; Col. 8:48-52; Col. 9:5-9; Fig. 1; Fig. 2.		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). <u>Function:</u> Preventing unauthorized	reception, transmission, translation and storage of financial instrument information.	Corresponding Structure: The specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.	Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 6:11-17; Col. 8:8-16; Applicant's Response, pp. 34, 37 (June 13, 1997).
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Eunction: Transmitting each portion of said separated financial instrument information stored in the memory storage device to, and in the format selected by, the receiving institution associated therewith. Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Preventing unauthorized	reception, transmission, translation and storage of financial instrument information.	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.	Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.
Plaintiff Construction	Eunction: Transmitting each portion of said separated financial instrument information stored in the memory storage device to, and in the format selected by, the receiving institution associated therewith. Corresponding Structure: Communication link 12. Intrinsic Evidence: '868 Patent, at Fig. 1; Fig. 2; Col. 8:7, 41, 48-52; 9:5-9.		Plaintiff contends that this term is not governed by 35 USC § 112(6). Plaintiff's Construction:	Programs running on a processor which limits only authorized originating and receiving institutions to receive, transmit, translate, and/or		'868 Patent, at Col. 6:11-26; 8:8-23 Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony of David James
'868 Claim Terms for Construction	instrument information stored in the memory storage device to, and in the format selected by, the receiving institution associated therewith" is in means-plusfunction format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Claim 27	"security procedures for preventing unauthorized reception, transmission, translation and storage of any	financial instrument information" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6)		
No.			24.			

	Ca	se 2:06-cv-00072-E	DF-CMC	Documen	nt 638 File	ed 0€	3/28/2007	Page 56 c	of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction			Does not need to be construed separately.						
"Defendant Group 1" Construction		Preamble contains limiting terms, including term(s) requiring construction(s).	A single central processing unit including associated application software programmed to perform a specified function and through which	all electronic data files are sent for sorting and translation. Intrinsic Evidence:	(8:21-29); (10:8-15); (14:29-30); FIG 2; Patent Title ("concentrator"); Office Action (Nov 20, 1996) at 3; Amendment (June 13, 1997) at 33	Extrinsic Evidence:	"master", McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms (5th ed.) (1994) – "1. a device which controls subsidiary devices."	"processor", Academic Press Dictionary of Science and Technology (1993) – "a device that interprets and executes instructions."	"centralized data processing", The New Webster's Comprehensive
Plaintiff Construction		Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	A central processing unit. Intrinsic Evidence:	868 Fatent, Col. 3:61-63; Col. 5:58-62; Col. 6:6-10.					
'868 Claim Terms for Construction	Claim 45	Preamble should be construed as limiting.	"master processor"						
No.		25.	26.						

	Case 2:	06-cv-(00072-DF-CM	IC Do	cume	nt 🛭	38 File	d 0 6 /	/ 2 8/2	007 F	age !	56 of 7	8
"Defendant Group 2" Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Temporarily storing each bundle of said separated financial instrument information in memory unique to the receiving institution associated therewith.	Corresponding Structure: Memory/Mailboxes M23, M24MN of Figure 2.	<u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> Col. 3:16-18; Col. 3:33-36; Col. 4:19-	21; Col. 4:60-64; Col. 7:6-18; Col.						This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Preventing unauthorized
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	Dictionary CD-11 (1985) – "the handling of all data in one place."	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Temporarily storing each bundle of said separated financial instrument information in memory assessable only by the receiving institution associated therewith.	Corresponding Structure: A mailbox or other partition within a memory device that is allocated to and	accessible only by a specific receiving institution.	Intrinsic Evidence:	(3:16-18); (3:33-36); (4:19-21); (4:60-64); (7:6-18); (8:29-32); (9:3-5); FIG 2	Extrinsic Evidence:	Testimony from Dr. Perry.	"uniquely", The Oxford English Dictionary (1989) – "1. exclusively, solely; only."		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Preventing unauthorized
Plaintiff Construction		This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Temporarily storing each bundle of said separated financial instrument information in memory unique to the receiving institution associated therewith.	Corresponding Structure: Memory/mailboxes M23, M24MN.	Intrinsic Evidence: '868 Patent, at Fig. 2; at Col. 3:64-67;	4:60-64; 7:6.						Software running on a processor which limits only authorized	originating and receiving institutions to receive, transmit, translate, and/or
'868 Claim Terms for Construction		"means for temporarily storing each bundle of said separated financial instrument information in	memory unique to the receiving institution associated therewith" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).								Claim 48	"security procedures for preventing unauthorized	reception, transmission, translation and storage of any
No.		27.										28.	

	Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC	Docume	ent	t 638 Filed 06/28	/20	07 Page 56 of 79
"Defendant Group 2" Construction	reception, transmission, translation and storage of any financial instrument information within the system. Corresponding Structure: The specification of the '868 patent does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: Col. 6:11-17; Col. 8:8-16; Applicant's Response, pp. 34, 37 (June 13, 1997).					
"Defendant Group 1" Construction	reception, transmission, translation and storage of any financial instrument information within the system. Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.			Preamble contains limiting terms, including term(s) requiring construction(s).		Preamble contains limiting terms, including term(s) requiring construction(s).
Plaintiff Construction	store financial instrument information. Intrinsic Evidence '868 Patent, at Col. 6:11-26; 8:8-23 Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony of David James			Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).		Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).
'868 Claim Terms for Construction	financial instrument information within the system" is in meansplus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	oloine 64	Claim 61	Preamble should be construed as limiting.	Claim 80	Preamble should be construed as limiting.
Š.				29.		30.

EXHIBIT D

DataTreasury Corporation v. Wells Fargo & Company, et al. P.R. 4-3(b) PARTIES DISPUTED CONSTRUCTIONS FOR U.S. PATENT NO. 5,583,759

Š.	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
	Claim 1		
÷	Preamble should be construed as limiting.	Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	Preamble contains limiting terms, including term(s) requiring construction(s).
αi	"financial instruments drawn on different institutions that are received by a payee at a first location"	Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	Financial instruments that are drawn on different financial institutions and that are received by a payee at a payee controlled location geographically distant from, and not at any of the facilities of, the collecting and clearing bank.
		Alternatively, Plaintiff's construction is: the plain meaning of the words. "Financial instrument": A document in writing by which some financial obligation by one person to pay another is represented, such as a check, paper, cash items, money orders, share orders, drafts, notes, bonds, coupons. Extrinsic evidence: The Dictionary of Banking, Woelfel (1994)	Intrinsic Evidence: (2:16-20); (2:21-24); (2:56-63); (3:1-16); (4:3-9); (4:40-44); (5:8-13); (6:30-31); (6:65-67); FIG 1; Response (Nov 30, 2004) at 17; Huntington Banks, Electronic Check Deposit (ECD) Service Brochure (1994).
က်	"said first location determined by the payee remote from the payee's collecting and clearing bank"	said first location determined by the ayee remote from the payee's collecting and clearing bank" Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir.	Payee's physical site, which is geographically distant from, and not at any of the facilities of, the bank that performs deposit, collecting, or clearing for the payee.

S S	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
		2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	Intrinsic Evidence:
		Alternatively, Plaintiff's construction is:	(2:16-20); (2:21-24); (2:56-63); (3:1-16); (4:3-
		A location separate from the payee's collecting and clearing bank, but connected by a communication link.	9), (4:40-44), (3:615), (6:30-51), (6:35-67), FIG 1; Response (Nov 30, 2004) at 17; Huntington Banks, Electronic Check Deposit (ECD) Service Brochure (1994).
		Intrinsic evidence:	Extrinsic Evidence:
		Col.4 l.4-6; col.5 l.7-9.	"remote", Random House Webster's College Dictionary, Random House, Inc. (1992) – "far apart; far distant in space."
			"remote", The Oxford English Dictionary (2d ed.) (1989) – "1. placed or situated at a distance or interval from each other; far apart 2. a. far away, far off, distance from some place, thing or person; removed, set apart."
			"remote", Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language Unabridged (1986) – "2. far removed in space, time, relation or likeness: not near or immediate: far, distant."
4.	"for applying to the instruments a separate indorsement on behalf of each of the payee and the collecting and clearing bank with respect to each instrument received"	"Separate indorsement" is defined, below. "Collecting and clearing bank": "A bank that deposits funds in the payee's account based on received cash letter.	The printer operates to print two distinct indorsements at the same time on the reverse side of a financial instrument, one on behalf of the payee and the other on behalf of the collecting and clearing bank.
	"applying to the instruments separate indorsements on behalf of each of the payee and the collecting and clearing bank with respect to each instrument	Intrinsic Evidence '759 Patent, at Col. 1:28-30; 2:17-19	Intrinsic Evidence: (2:9-12); (3:1-7); (4:3-8); (4:26-35); (4:40-44); (4:45-52); (5:13-15); (5:46-6:3); (6:36-40);

No.	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
	received" (Claim 5 of '759)		Response (Nov 30, 2004) at 17; Huntington Banks Electronic Chack Denosit (ECD)
	"applying to each of said instruments a separate indorsement on behalf of each of said payee and said depository bank" (Claim 5 of '778)		Service Brochure (1994).
5.	"a separate indorsement"	"Indorsement": Payment instructions and the identity of the indorser applied to an instrument.	Two distinct indorsements, each printed at the same time on the reverse side of a financial instrument.
		Intrinsic Evidence:	Intrinsic Evidence:
		'759 Patent, at Abstract; Col. 2:9-12; 3:4-7; 5:13-15	(2:9-12); (3:1-7); (4:3-8); (4:26-35); (4:40-44); (4:45-52); (5:13-15); (5:46-6:3); (6:36-40)
		Extrinsic Evidence	Extrinsic Evidence:
		Dictionary of Banking Terms (Barron's 1990), at 218 (defining "endorsement" as "signature on the back of a negotiable instrument, such as a check. Endorsement legally transfers ownership to another party.");	"endorsement", The Dictionary of Banking (Woelfel 1994) – "the writing on the back of a negotiable or other instrument, including endorsement in blank, special endorsement, conditional endorsement, qualified
		Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc., p. 472, 726 (1994) (defining "endorsement" as "(2) the placing of one's signature, instructions, etc., on a document.")	endorsement, and restrictive endorsement." ANSI X9/TG-2, "guidelines for the Standardization of Check Writing, Check Design, and Data Element Location" (1990).
		"Separate": One on behalf of the payee, and one on behalf of the collecting and clearing bank."	
6.	"means at the first location for preparing one or more cash letters associated with	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
	in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Preparing one or more cash letters at the first location	Eunction: Preparing one or more cash letters associated with each assembled groups of instruments.

No.	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
		Corresponding Structure: Central processing unit 13 or sorter 1 as in Fig. 1	Corresponding Structure: Specification does
		Intrinsic Evidence	recited function.
		'759 Patent, at Fig. 1 Col. 2:2-15: 3:29-35: 3:52-63: 4:12-164:19-21; 5:40-45; 5:63-65; 6:36-44;	<u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> : (4:12-15); (5:63-65); (6:41-43)
		Extrinsic Evidence	
		Testimony of Terry L. Geer	
		Testimony of David James	
		Testimony of Dr. Mullineaux.	
7.	"transport means for delivering the groups of instruments and the one or more cash letters from the first location to a second	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
	location for receipt into the payment system according to parameters	Eunction: Delivering groups of instruments and one or more cash letters.	Function: Delivering the groups of instruments and the one or more cash letters
	determined by the payee's collecting and clearing bank" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Corresponding Structure: Air or ground transportation [Fig. 1, 2].	without intermediate delivery to the payee's collecting and clearing bank, for receipt into
		Intrinsic Evidence:	the payment system according to parameters determined by the payee's collecting and clearing bank.
		'868 Patent, at Col.6:65-68.	Corresponding Structure: Conventional ground or air delivery that delivers the instruments (as sorted and bundled at the first location) and the cash letters (as prepared at the first location) into the payment system without further sorting or bundling or preparation of cash letters at the second
			location or any other location. Intrinsic Evidence:

Š.	759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
			(1:13-16); (1:56-62); (2:21-24); (2:39-40); (3:10-15); (4:25-45); (5:33-34); (5:46-6:3); (6:65-67); FIG 1; Response (Nov 30, 2004) at 17; May 5, 1995 Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.116, pp. 11-19, Application No. 08/156,190; Huntington Banks, Electronic Check Deposit (ECD) Service Brochure (1994).
ω	"delivering the groups of instruments and the one or more cash letters from the first location to a second location" Delivering the assembled groups of instruments and the one or more cash letters associated therewith from the first location to a second location (Claim 5)	Transporting from the first location to the check payment system via air or ground transportation [Fig. 1, 2].	Delivering the assembled groups of instruments and the one or more cash letters from the first location to a second location, without intermediate delivery to the payee's collecting and clearing bank. Intrinsic Evidence: (1:13-16); (1:56-62); (2:21-24); (2:39-40); (3:10-15); (4:25-45); (5:33-34); (5:46-6:3); (6:65-67); FIG 1; May 5, 1995 Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.116, pp. 11-19, Application No. 08/156,190
ത്	"second location"	A site for the check payment system that is remote or separate from the first location. Intrinsic Evidence: '759 Patent, at Claim 1; Col. 5; 11; 4:25-35; 6:65-7.	A subsequent site geographically distant from the payee and the collecting and clearing bank where financial instruments are submitted into the check payment system. Intrinsic Evidence: (1:13-16); (1:56-62); (2:21-24); (2:39-40); (3:10-15); (4:25-45); (5:33-34); (5:46-6:3); (6:65-67); FIG 1; May 5, 1995 Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.116, pp. 11-19, Application No. 08/156,190
10.	"a central processing unit and	This term is too long and too cumbersome to	A central processing unit and communication

No.	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
	communication link providing a	construe as a single term.	link that:
	payee's collecting and clearing bank which predetermines the timing and	Central processing unit: a conventional programmable computer.	(1) ensure cooperation in a common action or effort between the payee and the payee's
	instruments and the cash letters associated therewith and coordinates the	Communication link: Connections for transmitting electronic data.	(2) determine the timing in advance of the
	recordation of the deposit of the funds represented by the instruments with the collecting and clearing bank in a	Intrinsic Evidence: '759 Patent, at Fig. 1; Col. 2:1-5; 4:15-20; 5:60-67; 6:9-16; 6:22-24	transport and monitor the transport of the sorted instruments and the associated cash letters; and
	sequence coordinated with the timing of a settlement of accounts in the check payment system."	Cash letters: A listing of checks and the amounts of the checks drawn on a particular institution or group of institutions in a particular area.	(3) control when funds are credited to the payee's account at the collecting and clearing bank, dependent upon the timing of receiving notification that an instrument was settled
		Extrinsic Evidence:	through the payment system.
		The Dictionary of Banking, Woelfel (1994).	Intrinsic Evidence:
		The rest is plain meaning.	(2:21-31); (2:39-44); (4:19-35); (4:45-52); (5:57-62); (6:45-64)
			Extrinsic Evidence:
			"coordination", Oxford English Dictionary (2d ed.) (1989) – "3. harmonious combination of agents or functions towards the production of a result."
			"predetermine", Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc., (1994) – "to settle or decide in advance."
=	"settlement of accounts"	A calculation of aggregate amounts owing and payable In each account.	A calculation and transfer of total amounts owed and payable from each financial
		<u>Intrinsic evidence</u> : '759 Patent, at Col. 3: 63-67; 6:59-64.	Intrinsic Evidence:

ON	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
		Extrinsic evidence:	(3:57-4:2); (6:9-16); (6:59-64)
		Dictionary of Banking Terms (Barron's 1990), at 562 (defining "settlement" as "the accounting process recording the respective debit and credit positions of the two parties involved in a transfer of funds.").	Extrinsic Evidence: "settlement", The Dictionary of Banking (Woelfel 1994), "in general, the striking of balance between two or more parties having mutual dealings with one another and payment of the debit balance by the debtor to the creditor; the striking of balances among members of a clearinghouse association."
	Claim 5		
5	Preamble should be construed as limiting.	Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	Preamble contains limiting terms, including term(s) requiring construction(s).
13.	This claim should be construed to require a specific order of performing the steps below	Plaintiff contends that these steps are not required to take place in any particular order. Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony by Don Mullineaux.	This claim should be construed to require the following sequence of steps: (1) receiving financial instruments; (2) sorting and indorsing financial instruments; (3) assembling the financial instruments into discrete bundles; (4) prepare cash letters; and (5) reporting the information in the cash letter. Intrinsic Evidence: (3:10-16); (4:3-16); (6:35-40)
4.	"first location remote from the payee's	Preamble is not limiting and the language in	Payee's physical site, which is geographically

Š	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
	collecting and clearing bank"	preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	distant from, and not at any of the facilities of, the bank that performs deposit, collecting, or clearing for the payee.
		Alternatively, Plaintiff's construction is:	(2:16-20); (2:21-24); (2:56-63); (3:1-16); (4:3- 9): (4:40-44): (5:8-13): (6:30-31): (6:65-67):
		A location separate from the payee's collecting and clearing bank, but connected by a communication link.	9), (4:40'44'), (3:51'5), (5:30'5'1), (5:30'5'1), FIG 1; Response (Nov 30, 2004) at 17; Huntington Banks, Electronic Check Deposit (ECD) Service Brochure (1994).
		Intrinsic evidence:	
		Col.4 l.4-6; col.5 l.7-9.	
15.	"separate indorsements"	"Indorsement": payment instructions and the identity of the indorser applied to an instrument.	Two distinct indorsements, each printed at the same time on the reverse side of a financial instrument.
		Intrinsic Evidence:	Intrinsic Evidence:
		'759 Patent, at Abstract; Col. 2:9-12; 3:4-7; 5:13-15	(2:9-12); (3:1-7); (4:3-8); (4:26-35); (4:40-44); (4:45-52); (5:13-15); (5:46-6:3); (6:36-40)
		Extrinsic Evidence	Extrinsic Evidence:
		Dictionary of Banking Terms (Barron's 1990), at 218 (defining "endorsement" as "signature on the back of a negotiable instrument, such as a check. Endorsement legally transfers ownership to another party.");	ANSI X9/TG-2, "Guidelines for the Standardization of Check Writing, Check Design, and Data Element Location" (1990).
		Webster's Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language, Random House, Inc., p. 472, 726 (1994) (defining "endorsement" as "(2) the placing of one's signature, instructions, etc., on a document.")	
		"Separate": one on behalf of the payee, and	

No.	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
		one on behalf of the collecting and clearing bank.	
16.	"sequence coordinated with the timing of a settlement of the collecting and clearing bank's account"	The recording of the checks as a deposit in the payee's account is coordinated with the timing of the issue of a credit to the bank when a settlement of the accounts represented by the checks is received through the check payment system. Intrinsic evidence: 759 Patent at Claim 5, Col. 8:23-27; 6:59-64.	Controlling when funds are credited to the payee's account at the collecting and clearing bank, dependent upon the timing of receiving notification that an instrument was settled through the payment system. Intrinsic Evidence: (6:45-64)
	Claim 11		
17.	Preamble should be construed as limiting.	Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	Preamble contains limiting terms, including term(s) requiring construction(s).
6 .	"financial instruments drawn on different institutions that are received by different payees"	The language is in the preamble, which does not need to be construed. Alternatively, Plain meaning. "financial instruments": A document in writing by which some financial obligation by one person to pay another is represented, such as a check, paper, cash items, money orders, share orders, drafts, notes, bonds, coupons. Extrinsic evidence: The Dictionary of Banking, Woelfel (1994)	Financial instruments that are drawn on different financial institutions and that are received by multiple payees at a location geographically distant from, and not any of the facilities of, the collecting and clearing bank. Intrinsic Evidence: (2:21-24); (2:56-63); (3:1-16); (4:3-9); (4:40-44); (5:18-40); (6:30-31); (6:65-67); FIG 1; FIG 2; Response (Nov 30, 2004) at 17; Huntington Banks, Electronic Check Deposit (ECD) Service Brochure (1994).
		M HINEFARE CHARLES SING A HINEFARE A SING CHARLES INC.	

Š.	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
19.	"a means at said first location for applying separate indorsements to the instruments on behalf of each of the one or more	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
	banks of first deposit and payees, and the bank of subsequent deposit with respect	Eunction: Applying separate indorsements to the instruments.	Eunction: Applying separate indorsements to the instruments on behalf of each of the one
	to each instrument received is in means- plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Corresponding Structure: A printer or stamping mechanism.	or more banks of illst deposit and payees and the bank of subsequent deposit with respect to each instrument received.
		Intrinsic evidence: '759 Patent, at Col. 2:10-12; 3:4-7; 5:59; 6:35-	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.
		40; [indorsement applier 11].	Extrinsic Evidence:
			Testimony from Dr. Perry.
20.	"means for preparing one or more cash letters associated with each assembled or means." is in means.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
	group of sorted matternal is in means plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Preparing one or more cash letters associated with each assembled group of sorted instruments.	Eunction: Preparing one or more cash letters associated with each assembled group of sorted instruments.
		Corresponding Structure: A central processing unit operating alone or by an operator [Fig. 1].	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.
		Intrinsic Evidence:	Intrinsic Evidence:
		'759 Patent, at Fig. 1; Col. 3:29-35; 3:52-63; 4:12-16; 4:19-21; 5:40-45; 5:63-65; 6:36-44	(4:12-15); (5:63-65); (6:41-43)
21.	"reporting to the respective banks and payee information in the cash letters"	Transmitting information in or about the cash letters to the banks and/or to the payee.	Transmitting cash letter information from the first location to each of the bank(s) of first
		Intrinsic evidence:	deposit.
		'759 Patent at Claim 11; Col. 8:64—9:1; 6:45-	Intrinsic Evidence:

ON	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
		49	(5:15-32); (5:58-64)
22.	"transport means for delivering the groups of instruments and the one or more cash letters from the first location to a second location for introduction into the payment system according to parameters determined by the bank of subsequent deposit" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Delivering groups of instruments and one or more cash letters. Corresponding Structure: air or ground transportation [Fig. 1, 2]. Intrinsic Evidence: '868 Patent, at Col.6:65-68.	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Delivering the groups of instruments and the one or more cash letters from the first location to a second location, without intermediate delivery to the payee's collecting and clearing bank, for introduction into the payment system according to parameters determined by the bank of subsequent deposit. Corresponding Structure: The structure is conventional ground or air delivery that delivers the instruments (as sorted and bundled at the first location) and the cash letters (as prepared at the first location) into the payment system without further sorting or bundling or preparation of cash letters at the second location or any other location. Intrinsic Evidence: (4:25-45); (5:18-40); FIG 2; May 5, 1995 Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.116, pp. 11-19, Application No. 08/156,190.
23.	"a control unit interconnecting the banks and predetermining the timing and monitoring the transport of the sorted instruments"	A central processing unit and communication link connecting the banks. Intrinsic Evidence: '759 Patent, at Col. 2:24-26; 5:60; 6:53-64	A computer allowing electronic processing and communication between the banks and directing in advance the timing of the transport of the sorted instruments and monitoring the transport of the sorted instruments. Intrinsic Evidence:

O N	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
			(2:21-31); (4:19-26); (5:18-22); (5:28-32); (5:37-40); (5:57-63); (6:53-64); FIG 2
			Extrinsic Evidence:
			"controller", Academic Press Dictionary of Science and Technology (1992) – "Control Systems. A device that directs the transmission of information over the data links of a network; it is controlled by a program either within the device or in a processor to which the controller is connected."
24.	"coordinate the recordation of the deposit of the funds represented by the instruments in the account with the collecting and clearing bank in a sequence coordinated with the timing of settlement in the check payment system"	The recording of the checks as a deposit in the payee's account is coordinated with the timing of the issue of a credit to the bank when a settlement of the accounts represented by the checks is received through the check payment system.	The control unit controls when funds are credited to the payee's account at the collecting and clearing bank, dependent upon the timing of receiving notification that an instrument was settled through the payment system.
		Intrinsic evidence:	Intrinsic Evidence:
		'759 Patent at Claim 5, Col. 8:23-27; 6:59-64.	(2:21-31); (6:45-64)
	Claim 14		
25.	Preamble should be construed as limiting.	Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	Preamble contains limiting terms, including term(s) requiring construction(s).
26.	This claim should be construed to require a specific order of performing the steps below	Plaintiff contends that these steps are not required to take place in any particular order.	This claim should be construed to require the following sequence of steps:
		Extrinsic evidence:	(1) receiving financial instruments;

Š.	' 759 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
		Testimony of Dr. Mullineaux	 (2) sorting and indorsing financial instruments; (3) assembling the financial instruments into discrete bundles; (4) prepare cash letters; and (5) reporting the information in the cash letter.
			<u>Intrinsic Evidence</u> : (3:10-16); (4:3-16); (4:18-26); (5:8-32); (5:63- 65)
27.	"delivering the groups of instruments and the one or more cash letters"	Transporting from the first location to the check payment system via air or ground transportation [Fig. 1, 2].	Delivering the groups of instruments and the one or more cash letters from the first location into the payment system, without intermediate delivery to the bank of subsequent deposit.
			Intrinsic Evidence:
			(1:13-16); (1:56-62); (2:21-24); (3:10-15); (4:25-45); (5:33-34); (5:46-6:3); (6:65-67); FIG 2; May 5, 1995 Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.116, pp. 11-19, Application No. 08/156,190

EXHIBIT E

DataTreasury Corporation v. Wells Fargo & Company, et al. P.R. 4-3(b) PARTIES DISPUTED CONSTRUCTIONS FOR U.S. PATENT NO. 5,930,778

No.	'778 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
	Claim 1		
-:	Preamble should be construed as limiting.	Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	Preamble contains limiting terms, including term(s) requiring construction(s).
ni ni	"received by a payee at a location convenient to a payee's item capture facility and remote from the payee's depository bank".	This language is in the preamble and does not need to be construed.	Physically received at the payee's facility where the payee performs its own processing of checks and other cash items geographically distant from all facilities of the payee's bank. Intrinsic Evidence: (1:18-25); (4:43-54); (4:49-62); (5:1-6); (5:10-16); (5:31-34); (8:24-32); (6:40-49); (7:4-16); (8:1-9); (8:45-54); (8:48-54); (8:55-58); (10:58-63); (11:65-66); (12:38-43); (13:17-29); (13:50-55); (14:13-16); Abstract; FIG 1; FIG 2; Response (Nov 30, 2004) at 17; Huntington Banks, Electronic Check Deposit (ECD) Service Brochure (1994). Extrinsic Evidence: "remote", Random House Webster's College Dictionary, Random House, Inc. (1992) – "far apart; far distant in space." "remote", The Oxford English Dictionary (2d
			ed.) (1989) - "1. placed or situated at a

No.	'778 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
			distance or interval from each other; far apart 2. a. far away, far off, distance from some place, thing or person; removed, set apart." "remote", Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language Unabridged (1986) – "2: far removed in space, time, relation or likeness: not near or
c	", while of critical moti along the	Oito whom it more concited to be a second to be a s	immediate : far, distant."
nj	"payee's item capture facility" "an item capture facility at a first location convenient to the payee for receiving said check and payment associated with the check" (Claim 11)	Site where remittance processing or deposit processing functions of the payee occur. Intrinsic Evidence '778 Patent, at Col. 7:5-15	Payee's racility where payee performs its own processing of financial instruments; for example, a site where a retail organization payee performs remittance processing functions.
			Intrinsic Evidence:
			(1:18-25); (4:43-54); (6:40-49); (7:4-16); (8:1- 9); (8:45-54); (10:58-63); (13:17-29); <i>Abstract</i> ; FIG 1; FIG 2; Response (Nov 30, 2004) at 17; Huntington Banks, Electronic Check Deposit (ECD) Service Brochure (1994).
4.	"payee's depository bank"	The bank of first deposit where payee has an account, and where the physical instruments would otherwise be delivered.	The bank where the financial instrument would have been delivered for deposit, had it not been processed at the payee's location.
		Intrinsic Evidence	Intrinsic Evidence:
		'778 Patent, at Col. 9:10-15; 6:24-37	(1:28-31); (3:7-9); (4:19-21); (4:43-54); (5:58-61); (6:48-49); (7:26-37); (8:55-58); (9:25-37); (11:65-66); <i>Abstract</i> ; FIG 1; FIG 2; Response (Nov 30, 2004) at 17; Huntington Banks, Electronic Check Deposit (ECD) Service Brochure (1994).
5.	"at a location"	"At a location" is in the preamble, which does	At a payee's facility geographically distant

O	778 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
	"at the location"	not need to be construed. "At the location" - plain meaning. See, e.g. Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	from all facilities of the payee's bank. All instances of "location" refer to the location identified in the preamble, namely, a location geographically distant from all the facilities at the payer's bank. Intrinsic Evidence: (4:49-62); (5:1-6); (5:10-16); (5:31-34); (6:24-32); (6:44-49); (7:8-11); (8:48-54); (8:55-58); (11:65-66); (12:38-43); (13:50-55); (14:13-16); FIG 1; FIG 2; Response (Nov 30, 2004) at 17; Huntington Banks, Electronic Check Deposit (ECD) Service Brochure (1994).
ဖ်	"scanner"	An electronic reader that reads at least MICR data Intrinsic Evidence '778 Patent, at 7:40-47	A magnetic reader that collects MICR data printed in magnetic ink on a physical document. Intrinsic Evidence: (4:54-67); (7:38-50); (8:59-67); (10:44-47); (11:1-7); (12:52-58)
	"means for associating said financial information with the payee's records of accounts based upon information derived from the payment stub accompanying the instrument for further processing by the payee" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Associating said financial information with the payee's records of accounts based upon information derived from the payment stub accompanying the instrument for further processing by the payee. Corresponding Structure: the accounting system and personnel [Fig. 2, 5].	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Function: Associating said financial information with the payee's records of accounts based upon information derived from the payment stub accompanying the instrument for further processing by the payee. Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.

No.	778 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
		'778 Patent, at Col. 1:28-41; Fig. 1; 7:22-24	Intrinsic Evidence:
			(4:20-25); (5:58-64); (8:1-9); FIG 1; FIG 2
			Extrinsic Evidence:
			Testimony from Dr. Perry.
89	"imager"	A device for creating an electronic representation of an instrument.	Means for creating an optically or electro- optically formed reproduction of a tangible
		Intrinsic Evidence	object.
		'778 Patent, at Fig. 2, Col. 8:10-27; 8:59-67	
		Extrinsic Evidence:	
		Testimony of Terry L. Geer	
ത്	"imager for creating a second record translatable into a visually perceptible image of each of said financial instruments" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	A device that creates an electronic representation of an instrument. Intrinsic Evidence '778 Patent, at Fig. 2, Col. 8:10-27; 8:59-67. Extrinsic Evidence Testimony of David James	There is no well-known structure associated with the word "imager." This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Creating a second record translatable into a visually perceptible image of each of said financial instruments. Corresponding Structure: Item 7 of Figure 1, "electronic imaging." An actual structure is not disclosed other than by specifying electronic means are utilized. Intrinsic Evidence: (5:1-9); (6:38-49); (8:10-27); (8:38-42); (8:48-67); (9:1-10); (9:64-67); (10:48-67); (14:17-32)

Š.	'778 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
	Claim 2		
10.	"means for adding to the record of each instrument an indorsement indicia on	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
	in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	<u>Function</u> : Adding to the record of each instrument an indorsement indicia.	Eunction: Adding to the record of each instrument an indorsement indicia on behalf of each of payee and the bank.
		Corresponding Structure: A sorter. Intrinsic Evidence	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.
		'778 Patent, at Col. 11:36-41; 5:13-21;Fig. 2	Intrinsic Evidence:
			Extrinsic Evidence:
			Testimony from Dr. Perry.
	Claim 5		
11.	Preamble should be construed as limiting.	Preamble is not limiting and the language in preamble does not need to be construed. See, e.g., Catalina Mktg. Int'l Inc. v. Coolsavings.com Inc., 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002); DeGeorge v. Bernier, 768 F.2d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1985).	Preamble contains limiting terms, including term(s) requiring construction(s).
12.	"means for associating said information with the payee's records of accounts	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
	means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Associating said financial information with payees' record of accounts corresponding to the payment form.	Eunction: Associating said financial information with the payee's records of accounts based upon information derived
		Corresponding Structure: The accounting system and personnel [Fig. 2, 5].	instrument for further processing by the payee.
		Intrinsic Evidence	Corresponding Structure: The structure is item 5 in Figures 1 and 2, although the

No.	'778 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
		'778 Patent, at Col. 1:28-41; Fig. 1; 7:22-24	software for running it is unknown. Intrinsic Evidence: (3:30-54); (4:19-26); (4:54-67); (5:58-64); (6:50-66); (7:4-25); (7:56-61); (8:1-9); (13:17-29); FIG 1; FIG 2 Extrinsic Evidence: Testimony from Dr. Perry.
ن ن	"means at said facility for applying to each of said instruments a separate indorsement on behalf of each of said payee and said depository bank" is in means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Applying to each of said instruments a separate indorsement. Corresponding Structure: The mechanical sorter. Intrinsic Evidence '778 Patent, at Claim 2; 12:52-57	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6). Eunction: Applying to each of said instruments a separate indorsement on behalf of each of said payee and said depository bank. Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function. Intrinsic Evidence: Extrinsic Evidence: Extrinsic Evidence:
4.	"separate indorsement on behalf of each of said payee and said depository bank"	"Indorsement": Payment instructions and the identity of the indorser applied to an instrument. "Separate": One on behalf of the payee, and one on behalf of the collecting and clearing bank.	Two distinct indorsements, each printed at the same time on the reverse side of a financial instrument, one on behalf of the payee and the other on behalf of the payee's depository bank.

Page 76 of 79

No.	778 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
		<u>Intrinsic evidence:</u> '778 Patent, at Claim 2; 11:38-44; 12:52-57.	(4:65-5:20); (11:12-27); (11:29-44); (11:59-67); (12:38-51); (12:52-67); (13:1-15)
			Extrinsic Evidence:
			"endorsement", The Dictionary of Banking (Woelfel 1994) – "the writing on the back of a negotiable or other instrument, including endorsement in blank, special endorsement, conditional endorsement, qualified endorsement, and restrictive endorsement."
			ANSI X9/TG-2, "guidelines for the Standardization of Check Writing, Check Design, and Data Element Location" (1990).
15.	"means at said facility for preparing at least one cash letter for association with	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
	means-plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	Eunction: Preparing at least one cash letter at said facility.	Function: Preparing at least one cash letter for association with each bundled group of instruments.
		Corresponding Structure: Sorter at the depository bank" Intrinsic Evidence:	Corresponding Structure: Specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.
		'778 Patent, at Col. 9:52-55; 11:22-24;11:44- 45; 12:55-58	Intrinsic Evidence: (14:13-32)
16.	"means for assembling information scanned from the instruments into a transmitable record with respect to each	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
	instrument in a correspondence with the bundled groups and cash letters for communication to the bank" is in meansplus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C.	Function: Assembling information scanned from the instruments into a transmittable record.	<u>Function</u> : Assembling information scanned from the instruments into a transmittable record with respect to each instrument in a correspondence with the bundled groups and

O	'778 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
	§ 112(6).	Corresponding Structure: A sorter or processor.	cash letters for communication to the bank.
		Intrinsic Evidence:	Corresponding Structure: The specification does not disclose structure sufficient to perform the recited function.
		7701 atent, at 00: 7:00-01:	Intrinsic Evidence:
			(4:54-67); (6:40-50); (9:1-24)
			Extrinsic Evidence:
			Testimony from Dr. Perry.
17.	"transport means for delivering said bundled groups of sorted instruments with	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).	This term should be construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).
	into said payment system" is in means- plus-function format subject to 35 U.S.C.	Function: Delivering said bundled groups of sorted instruments.	Function: Delivering said bundled groups of sorted instruments with associated cash
	9 - I Z(b).	Corresponding Structure: Air or ground transportation.	system, without intermediate delivery to the payee's depository bank.
		Intrinsic Evidence:	Corresponding Structure: The structure is
		'778 Patent, at Col. 5:30-35.	delivers the bundled groups of instruments with associated cash letters into the payment system without further sorting or bundling or preparation of cash letters at the payee's depository bank.
			Intrinsic Evidence:
			(5:25-34); (11:45-56); (14:13-16) ; May 5, 1995 Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.116, pp. 11-19, Application No. 08/156,190
18.	"coordinating the delivery of the	Controlling the process of the introduction of	Controlling the timing of transport of the

O	'778 Claim Terms for Construction	Plaintiff Construction	"Defendant Group 1" Construction
	instruments and cash letters into the payment system"	instruments into the payment system. Intrinsic Evidence:	instruments and cash letters into the payment system.
		'778 Patent, at Claim 5(i); Col. 10:19-27; 13:66—14:16.	(5:35-45); (11:65-12:13); (13:56-14:8)
			Extrinsic Evidence:
			"coordinate", Random House Webster's College Dictionary, Random House, Inc. (1992) – "(9) to place or arrange in proper order or position."
			"coordinate", The Oxford English Dictionary (2d ed.) (1989) – "2. to place or arrange (things) in proper position relatively to each other and to the system of which they form parts; to bring into proper combined order as parts of a whole."